

# POLICY BRIEF NO. 25 > FEBRUARY 2013

### CANADA'S RETURN TO EAST ASIA: RE-ENGAGEMENT THROUGH MARITIME DIPLOMACY<sup>1</sup>

JAMES MANICOM

#### **KEY POINTS**

- To rebuild its reputation in the region, Canada should support its East Asian reengagement efforts through maritime defence and cooperation endeavours, which would improve the region's strategic stability and foster economic growth.
- Canada should strengthen maritime exchanges in East Asia, including joint exercises with Chinese and other regional navies, and partner with East Asian states to build coast guard capacity through tabletop exercises, personnel exchanges and training exercises.
- Drawing on its own diplomatic experiences, Canada should foster dialogue in the East Asian region on cooperative living and non-living resource management in disputed waters.

#### INTRODUCTION

After a decade of neglect, the Canadian government is prepared to re-engage East Asia, particularly China. Adding a maritime component to Canada's reengagement efforts would help mitigate threats to the strategic stability that makes economic growth possible and build Canada's prestige in the region. Recognizing that re-engagement must go beyond bilateral economic issues, Canadian policy makers are seeking to deepen Canada's regional diplomacy. Canada has signalled its support for regional institutions by acceding to the Association of Southeast Asian Nations (ASEAN)'s Treaty of Amity and Cooperation in Southeast Asia (TAC) (Job, 2010). In an effort to reverse early missteps in Canada's relationship with China, Canadian Minister of Foreign



#### **JAMES MANICOM**

James Manicom is a research fellow at The Centre for International Governance Innovation (CIGI), contributing to the development of the Global Security Program. Previously, he held fellowships at the Ocean Policy Research Foundation in Tokyo and the Balsillie School of International Affairs. His current research explores Arctic governance, East Asian security and China's role in ocean governance.

<sup>1</sup> This policy brief draws on research conducted by the author for "Canadian Debates about China's Rise: Whither the China Threat?" *Canadian Foreign Policy Journal*, 3, no. 3 (September 2012): 287–300.

## THE CENTRE FOR INTERNATIONAL GOVERNANCE INNOVATION

Affairs John Baird declared in 2011 that "my government gets it" before departing for a visit to China and to attend the ASEAN ministerial conference in Bali (Perkins and Hoffman, 2011). At the 9th ASEAN-Canada Dialogue in June 2012, Baird pledged CDN\$10 million to fund various ASEAN initiatives.

Nevertheless, the region still perceives Canada as a fair-weather Asia-Pacific country that only seeks to establish the basic elements of trade and investment relations to diversify its trading partners. According to then ASEAN Secretary-General Surin Pitsuwan, Canada's admission to the region's leading economic and defence forums — the East Asian Summit (EAS) and the ASEAN Defence Ministers' Meeting (ADMM) remain out of reach until Southeast Asian states are convinced of the durability of Canada's re-engagement (Clark, 2012). As it is widely accepted that "process matters in an Asia Pacific context...contributing as well as receiving is important," Canada should round out its economic re-engagement strategy with contributions to regional peace and security (Asia Pacific Foundation of Canada, 2012: 12).

Maritime diplomacy contributes to regional peace and stability by addressing urgent problems, such as those affecting trade and fishing in East Asian waters. The region relies heavily on these sectors for growth, but is afflicted with numerous maritime and territorial disputes that are negatively impacting them. By adding maritime diplomacy to its economically oriented regional re-engagement strategy, Canada signals its determined return to the region, building the reputation that it requires for membership to East Asia's premier institutions. This could, in turn, pave the way for closer economic ties with East Asia. As Canada has no military forces permanently deployed to the region, no formal treaty allies and limited bilateral trade relationships,

Copyright © 2013 by The Centre for International Governance Innovation.

The opinions expressed in this publication are those of the author and do not necessarily reflect the views of The Centre for International Governance Innovation or its Operating Board of Directors or International Board of Governors.



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Non-commercial — No Derivatives Licence. To view this licence, visit (www.creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/). For re-use or distribution, please include this copyright notice.

maritime diplomacy is the optimal means to convey its long-term commitment to the region. Above all, Canada's strategy must transcend the electoral cycle; relationships are built in East Asia over the long term.

## MARITIME PROBLEMS IN A MARITIME REGION

Canada's return to East Asia is occurring during a strategic transition in the region. After 60 years of stability that underwrote unprecedented economic prosperity, East Asia is undergoing a strategic shift, as the United States re-evaluates its global strategic commitments at a time when China seems prepared to assert itself. American primacy in East Asia is being questioned as a function of its domestic financial woes and the legacy of fighting two wars in the Middle East. Despite China's positive contributions to East Asian regionalism and free trade, concerns abound over its ambitions for disputed maritime areas, coupled with the lack of transparency in its military spending. Although US President Barack Obama announced an unambiguous foreign policy shift from the Middle East to Asia in November 2011, there is no doubt that the American presence in the region will be different than it has been (Obama, 2011; US Department of Defense, 2012). All signs point to a United States that leans more heavily on its allies to share the burden of military responsibility. At the 11th International Institute for Strategic Studies (IISS) Shangri-La Dialogue in Singapore, US Secretary of Defense Leon Panetta pledged to keep 60 percent of the US Navy forward deployed in the region, the most clear outline of US forces structure "rebalancing" in East Asia (2012). This amounts to an effort to reassure regional states of the credibility of the US presence in the region.

Amid this strategic uncertainty, China has asserted its maritime claims in the East, and the South China Sea in particular, with unprecedented vigour. Chinese coast guard vessels patrol disputed waters more frequently and have enforced China's claimed jurisdictional rights against civilian and military vessels from Japan, Vietnam and the Philippines. This has resulted in a number of dangerous armed confrontations at sea. Lurking in the background is the increasingly capable and active People's Liberation Army (PLA) Navy. Recent Chinese behaviour is widely viewed with apprehension, and threatens to divide East Asia. Indonesia, which is not a party to a maritime boundary dispute with China, lodged reservations with the United Nations in response to ambiguous Chinese claims to the South China Sea. Japan, which has typically avoided comment on Southeast Asian maritime security concerns for fear of alarming both local and Chinese sensibilities, has reversed course and moved to support Southeast Asian states that are party to disputes with China, such as the Philippines. Furthermore, regional meetings have become staging grounds for diplomatic confrontations over Chinese activities at sea. Former US Secretary of State Hillary Clinton reminded the ASEAN Regional Forum (ARF) that "legitimate claims to maritime space in the South China Sea should be derived solely from legitimate claims to land features" (2010). Chinese Foreign Minister Yang Jiechi reacted angrily, calling the remarks an "attack on China" ("Foreign Minister Yang," 2010). Most recently, Cambodia, which occupied the rotating chair of ASEAN in 2012, was pressured by China not to include any mention of maritime tensions in the final communiqués of ASEAN-centred meetings.

As a state that seeks to capitalize on East Asia's economic dynamism, Canada should concern itself with these challenges to regional peace and security because they threaten to undermine the economic dynamism

## THE CENTRE FOR INTERNATIONAL GOVERNANCE INNOVATION

upon which its regional interests are predicated. This strategy, however, needs to be carefully calibrated to avoid alienating China, with whom Canada has only recently resuscitated relations. Furthermore, maritime diplomacy will fail if China perceives Canada to be an extension of the United States.

## THE CASE FOR MARITIME DIPLOMACY

Maritime diplomacy is the best way forward for Canada because it is instrumental to Canada's broader regional strategy. It builds on Canada's long-standing track record in the region and it adopts a role familiar to regional states. Building confidence is Canada's legacy in East Asia. Canada has a long and quite successful track record of Track-Two diplomacy on non-traditional security issues in East Asia (Evans, 2009), through efforts such as the Canadian International Development Agency's support of the Indonesian-hosted South China Sea dialogues in the early 1990s. These were important confidence-building measures, representing the only set of meetings where all claimants were present, particularly at a time of escalating tension (Djalal and Townsend-Gault, 1999). Canada's role as an honest broker in the proceedings is evidenced by the fact that the Chinese were on record as preferring Canadian funding to US- or Japanese-funded workshops (Snyder, Glosserman and Cossa, 2001: 2; 13). Conversations in the region reveal an expectation that Canada's reengagement will carry some of these elements with it.

Contributing to regional peace and security will build Canada's regional prestige, which supports the deeper institutional engagement that Ottawa is pursuing. Although the East Asian diplomatic calendar can be burdensome, these meetings drive regional decisionmaking processes. As then US Assistant Secretary of State for East Asian and Pacific Affairs Kurt Campbell has suggested, "These institutions, these regular meetings, tend to drive the process inside government" (Campbell, 2012). Similarly, Canadian Minister of National Defence Peter MacKay attended the 2012 IISS Shangri-La Dialogue to support Canada's bid for an invitation to the ADMM Plus; a more active regional engagement strategy could open doors to the region's primary institutions. By leveraging its credentials as a maritime state as a member of the ARF's Maritime Security Working Group, Canada increases its standing in East Asia. This could, in turn, create momentum for Canadian participation at the next ADMM Plus meeting, which has its own maritime security working group.

Maritime diplomacy and deeper institutional engagement bring closer political ties and, over time, improved relations to support Canada's regional economic objectives. Canada has been long-time supporter of the Asia-Pacific Economic Cooperation (APEC) forum and is pursuing membership in the related Trans-Pacific Partnership. ASEAN-centred trade liberalization has long existed alongside APEC initiatives, but has historically excluded non-Asian states (Stubbs, 2002). By paving the way for membership in institutions like the EAS, Canada gains a window into the ASEAN-centred process of regional trade liberalization including trade, finance and regulatory discussions. This also supports its efforts to pursue bilateral trade agreements with East Asian economies.

#### **POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS**

Maritime diplomacy deliberately goes beyond Canada's Track-Two legacy; East Asian states have mastered the "habits of dialogue" (Dewitt, 1994). In an era of growing naval spending and numerous maritime flashpoints,

the region is in need of inclusive security cooperation that builds transparency between navies and coast guards. Canada is already deepening military ties with the region, through, for example, its discussions with Japan towards an Acquisition and Cross-Servicing Agreement, and is negotiating access to military facilities in Singapore. These will support Canada's proven record of humanitarian assistance and disaster relief (HADR) within the region, evidenced in Sri Lanka in 2004, and offered to Myanmar after Cyclone Nargis in 2008. The proposals outlined below are deliberately ambitious and are designed to spark debate about their suitability and feasibility in the context of strained budgets.

Given Canada's previous track record as a trusted bridge builder between China and other states, naval engagement could be one component of maritime diplomacy (Adams, 2012). China's efforts at defence diplomacy have increased in the past decade, creating opportunities for more frequent and in-depth Sino-Canadian defence exchanges. Both countries participate in the Western Pacific Naval Symposium and Council for Security Cooperation in the Asia Pacific. Given that direct military exercises between the United States and China are banned by US law, there may be an opportunity for Canada to conduct its own bilateral exercises with China; Australia has already done so. In September 2010, the Royal Australian Navy conducted an exercise with the PLA Navy in the Yellow Sea, which included live fire drills, search and rescue (SAR) operations and joint helicopter missions. The Australian Defence Force has also conducted a HADR exercise with the PLA. More ambitiously, Canada and Australia could work together to foster regular naval interaction with China, and bring in new partners over time. This effort would build on the steady stream of Canadian naval port visits to the region since 1995, and build ties in advance of China's participation in the 2014 Rim of the Pacific (RIMPAC) Exercise. The ideal geographic focus of this engagement would be the western Pacific Ocean, but a more economical alternative is the Indian Ocean where many of these potential partners are engaged in anti-piracy missions.



Frigate HMCS Ottawa (FFH 341) at RIMPAC 2012. Source: Royal Canadian Navy.

If deeper naval links are too politically sensitive, coast guard cooperation is an alternative avenue that is valued in East Asia. For instance, despite their often acrimonious relationship, Chinese and Japanese coast guards have conducted three SAR exercises together in an effort to build confidence (Shen and Chen, 2011). Canada, China, Japan and South Korea are members of the North Pacific Coast Guard Forum (NPCGF), which coordinates efforts among members to address maritime security challenges. Canada could reach out to other nations, such as South Korea and Japan, who are interested in conducting joint exercises, exchanges or tabletop exercises with Chinese coast guards. Canada already has close working relations with both the South Korean and Japanese coast guards through its membership in the North Pacific Anadromous Fish Commission, which promotes conservation of anadromous fish stocks in the North Pacific. Like Canada, East Asian states confront the challenge of

policing a large exclusive economic zone with limited resources, thus Canadian expertise and lessons learned are likely to be appreciated.

Canada could also contribute to coast guard capacity building in Southeast Asia. Japan trains a number of Philippine and Indonesian officers at its Coast Guard Academy. Canada could partner with ASEAN states to assist these capacity-building efforts, which could involve Canadian vessels in theatre or simply educational exchanges. Canada could also explore regional interest in the establishment of a Southeast Asian equivalent of the NPCGF. The United States, China and Japan have all used maritime security capacity building as part of their efforts to contribute to regional security in Southeast Asia.

Finally, Canada could foster dialogue on cooperative resource exploitation in disputed areas. Although the marginal utility of Track-Two dialogues in East Asia is on the decline due to their proliferation and a reduction in earnest participation by Chinese participants, they are not entirely without value. Canada could lead discussions toward a regional fisheries management organization for Southeast Asian waters. Similarly, Canada could share its experience in maritime boundary delimitation and resource development in disputed waters. All East Asian claimant states have rhetorically committed to this idea, but have been unwilling to share jurisdiction in contested areas. In one attempt, the Joint Marine Seismic Undertaking agreement, Chinese, Vietnamese and Philippine oil companies collaborated in exploration activities in a disputed area of the South China Sea. Although the agreement collapsed due to domestic opposition in the Philippines, this initiative was the deepest cooperation witnessed among the South China Sea claimants, and merits resuscitation with Canadian support.

#### **CONCLUSION**

While maritime diplomacy is an ambitious reengagement strategy for Canada, two counterpoints are worth considering. Because Canada is familiar with European security issues and pan-Atlantic security institutions, such as the North Atlantic Treaty Organization, it could be argued that it is ill-equipped to involve itself in matters of East Asian security. East Asia is home to a different set of multilateral structures that operate under different rules. In this view, the proposals herein risk alienating important economic partners, such as China. Canada should, therefore, limit its East Asian engagement to economic issues while leaving strategic questions to those countries directly affected. For instance, taking a stand on Chinese threats to freedom of navigation in the South China Sea risks alienating China for little strategic gain (Manicom, 2012).

An alternative perspective advocates adopting a "whole-of-country approach" to re-engagement that seems out of touch with Canada's history, ongoing priorities and capacity (Asia Pacific Foundation of Canada, 2012: 13). Australia, often cited as a model for Canada's Asia policy, has doubled-down on the Asian Century by pledging to strengthen its Asian literacy (Government of Australia, 2012). However, Canada is not Australia and will always have important links with the Americas and with Europe.

By contrast, maritime diplomacy offers a more modest, if ambitious, route by building on Canada's past contributions to regional security and leveraging its capacity to contribute to stability in East Asia, which supports its regional economic aspirations.

#### **WORKS CITED**

- Adams, Thomas (2012). "Shift to the Pacific: Canada's Security Interests and Maritime Strategy in East Asia." In *Canada's National Security in the Post-9/11 World: Strategy, Interests, and Threats*, edited by David S. McDonough. Pages 160–173. Toronto: University of Toronto Press.
- Asia Pacific Foundation of Canada (2012). Securing Canada's Place in Asia: Means, Institutions and Mechanisms. Vancouver: Asia Pacific Foundation of Canada.
- Campbell, Kurt (2012). "The South China Sea and Asia Pacific in Transition." Speech given at the Center for Strategic and International Studies, June 26. Washington, DC. Available at: http://csis.org/files/attachments/120627\_SOUTHCHINASEA\_CAMPBELL\_TRANSCRIPT.pdf.
- Clark, Campbell (2012). "Canada Denied Seat at East Asia Summit." *The Globe and Mail*, September 20. Available at: www.theglobeandmail.com/news/politics/canada-denied-seat-at-east-asia-summit/article4558196/.
- Clinton, Hillary (2010). "Remarks at Press Availability." Hanoi, July 23. Available at: www.state.gov/secretary/rm/2010/07/145095.htm.
- Dewitt, David (1994). "Common, Comprehensive, and Cooperative Security." *The Pacific Review* 7, no. 1: 1–15.
- Djalal, Hasjim and Ian Townsend-Gault (1999). "Managing Potential Conflicts in the South China Sea: Informal Diplomacy for Conflict Prevention." In *Herding Cats: Multiparty Mediation in a Complex World*, edited by Chester A. Crocker, Fen Osler Hampson and Pamela Aall. Pages 107–133.

- Washington, DC: United States Institute of Peace Press.
- Evans, Paul (2009). "Canada and Asia Pacific's Track-Two Diplomacy." *International Journal* 64, no. 4.
- "Foreign Minister Yang Jiechi Refutes Fallacies on the South China Sea Issue." (2010). Ministry of Foreign Affairs of the People's Republic of China, July 26. Available at: www.mfa.gov.cn/eng/zxxx/t719460.htm.
- Government of Australia (2012). Australia in the Asian Century. White Paper, October. Available at: http://asiancentury.dpmc.gov.au/sites/default/files/white-paper/australia-in-the-asian-century-white-paper.pdf.
- Job, Brian (2010). "Revitalizing Canada-Southeast Asia Relations: The TAC Gives Us a Ticket...But Do We Have a Destination? *Canada-Asia Agenda* 11 (August). Available at: www.asiapacific.ca/sites/default/files/filefield/CAASouthEastAsia.pdf.
- Manicom, James (2012). "Canada and the South China Sea Disputes: Challenges for Re-Engagement in East Asia." Canada-Asia Agenda 26.
- Obama, Barack (2011). "Remarks By President Obama to the Australian Parliament." November 17. Available at: www.whitehouse.gov/the-press-office/2011/11/17/remarks-president-obama-australian-parliament.
- Panetta, Leon (2012). "The US Rebalance Towards the Asia-Pacific." Address to the 11th International Institute for Strategic Studies Shangri-La Security Dialogue, Singapore, June 2. Available at: www.iiss. org/conferences/the-shangri-la-dialogue/shangri-la-dialogue-2012/speeches/first-plenary-session/leonpanetta/.

- Perkins, Tara and Andy Hoffman (2011). "Tories Shift Toward Much Stronger Trade Ties with China." *The Globe and Mail*, June 29. Available at: www. theglobeandmail.com/news/politics/tories-shift-toward-much-stronger-trade-ties-with-china/article586552/.
- Shen, Wenmin and Chen, Hongguo (2011). "Chinese 'Haixun 21' Carries Out Preparations for Going to Japan for Rescue Efforts." *Renmin Wang*, March 17, in World News Connection, Document #201103171477.1\_f8a7011bb0f7492d.
- Snyder, Scott, Brad Glosserman and Ralph Cossa (2001). "Confidence Building Measures in the South China Sea." *Issues & Insights* no. 2-01.
- Stubbs, Richard (2002). "ASEAN Plus Three: Emerging East Asian Regionalism?" *Asian Survey* 43, no. 2: 440–455.
- US Department of Defense (2012). Sustaining U.S. Global Leadership: Priorities for 21st Century Defense. Washington, DC: Government Printing Office.

## ADVANCING POLICY IDEAS AND DEBATE

Through its publications program, CIGI informs decision makers, fosters dialogue and debate on policy-relevant ideas and strengthens multilateral responses to the most pressing international governance issues.

#### **POLICY BRIEFS**



### Canada-US Arctic Marine Corridors and Resource Development

John Higginbotham, Andrea Charron and James Manicom

In preparing for the commercialization of the Arctic Ocean, Canada and the United States face enormous opportunities in protecting economic and environmental interests; however, a number of challenges impede the fulfillment of this vision.



#### Zero: The Surprising and Unambiguous Policy Relevance of the Cuban Missile Crisis

James G. Blight and janet M. Lang

Drawing on a quarter century of research on the Cuban missile crisis, this policy brief offers takeaways and recommendations for moving towards zero nuclear weapons.



# Responding to Disaster: Neglected Dimensions of Preparedness and Their Consequences

Andrew S. Thompson and David A. Welch

Through a comparison of responses to the recent disasters in Haiti and Japan, this policy brief identifies neglected dimensions of disaster response preparedness and offers suggestions for improvement.



#### Unleashing the Nuclear Watchdog: Strengthening and Reform of the IAEA Trevor Findlay

The conclusions of the June 2012 report on the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) by Trevor Findlay are outlined in this policy brief, which contains recommendations for strengthening and reform of the IAEA.

#### **CIGI PAPERS**



# Strengthening International Financial Institutions to Promote Effective International Cooperation

Thomas A. Bernes

The current global financial crisis resulted from the failure of major economies and global institutions to address emerging fault lines in global financial markets and global

institutions. No single country has the ability or resources to fix things on its own — a near-unprecedented degree of collective action is required.



# Another Fine Mess: Repairing the Governance of International Financial Regulation

Pierre Siklos

Five years after the onset of the global financial crisis, policy makers seemingly continue to believe that the severity of any crisis-led downturn can be divorced from its

source. Credibility in new international regulatory frameworks must begin at home with a determination for monetary policies to work together.



#### Leadership in a Turbulent Age

Fen Osler Hampson and Paul Heinbecker

Sound economic policies, which are in short supply in most key nations of the world, are fundamental to national security and international leadership. The United States must work alongside others — and accept that others will sometimes work together

without it — to deal with a wide range of persistent and emerging global problems and issues.



#### Sustainable Development and Financing Critical Global Public Goods

Barry Carin

The idea of a "Green Super Fund" can be framed as a positive sum game, with a win-win-win allocation that would garner widespread global support and ultimately be accepted by all the major players.



## A Policy Mismatch: Canada and the United States in the Asia-Pacific Region

James Manicom

The United States and Canada, two of the world's closest allies, have reinvigorated their diplomatic and military postures toward the Asia-Pacific region. On balance, however, Canada may not be an ideal

Pacific partner for the United States.

DOWNLOAD CIGI PAPERS AND REPORTS FREE FROM: WWW.CIGIONLINE.ORG/PUBLICATIONS

### **ABOUT CIGI**

The Centre for International Governance Innovation is an independent, non-partisan think tank on international governance. Led by experienced practitioners and distinguished academics, CIGI supports research, forms networks, advances policy debate and generates ideas for multilateral governance improvements. Conducting an active agenda of research, events and publications, CIGI's interdisciplinary work includes collaboration with policy, business and academic communities around the world.

CIGI's current research programs focus on four themes: the global economy; global security; the environment and energy; and global development.

CIGI was founded in 2001 by Jim Balsillie, then co-CEO of Research In Motion (BlackBerry), and collaborates with and gratefully acknowledges support from a number of strategic partners, in particular the Government of Canada and the Government of Ontario.

Le CIGI a été fondé en 2001 par Jim Balsillie, qui était alors co-chef de la direction de Research In Motion (BlackBerry). Il collabore avec de nombreux partenaires stratégiques et exprime sa reconnaissance du soutien reçu de ceux-ci, notamment de l'appui reçu du gouvernement du Canada et de celui du gouvernement de l'Ontario.

For more information, please visit www.cigionline.org.

### **CIGI MASTHEAD**

Managing Editor, Publications Carol Bonnett

**Publications Editor** Jennifer Goyder

Publications Editor Sonya Zikic

Assistant Publications Editor Vivian Moser

Media Designer Steve Cross

#### **EXECUTIVE**

President Rohinton Medhora

Vice President of Programs David Dewitt

Vice President of Public Affairs Fred Kuntz

#### **COMMUNICATIONS**

Communications SpecialistKevin Diaskdias@cigionline.org (1 519 885 2444 x 7238)Public Affairs CoordinatorKelly Lorimerklorimer@cigionline.org (1 519 885 2444 x 7265)



57 Erb Street West Waterloo, Ontario N2L 6C2, Canada tel +1 519 885 2444 fax +1 519 885 5450 www.cigionline.org

