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Executive Summary

The United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples (UN Declaration) is a key international human rights instrument for the protection and promotion of Indigenous peoples’ inherent rights. The UN system and states recommitted to implementing the UN Declaration at the 2014 World Conference on Indigenous Peoples. Implementing the UN Declaration will require actions by multiple actors, including states, UN agencies and Indigenous peoples. However, NHRIs and potentially the subnational (or provincial-level) institutions, also play a crucial role in promoting and monitoring the implementation of international human rights standards, such as those provided for in the UN Declaration. While this role is being increasingly recognized by the international community, a global survey of NHRIs reported that NHRIs feel underappreciated, are not as effective as they could be and that many of their recommendations to governments were not followed up. While the work of NHRIs is vital for the promotion and protection of human rights standards domestically, NHRIs also have an opportunity to advance human rights at the international level. There exists much research that speaks to the role of NHRIs in promoting international human rights law domestically, but none that speaks specifically to the role of NHRIs in promoting implementation of the UN Declaration. This paper explores the roles that NHRIs can play at both the international and the domestic level in implementing the UN Declaration. The paper begins with a description of the role of NHRIs internationally, then looks at the roles of NHRIs domestically. Finally, the paper concludes with examples of what various NHRIs are doing to implement the UN Declaration and makes recommendations for enhanced roles for NHRIs in this work. Provincial human rights institutions do not have the same standing as NHRIs internationally, this paper will consider the role for provincial institutions in the implementation of the UN Declaration domestically, where appropriate.

Introduction

The UN Declaration is a key international human rights instrument for the protection and promotion of Indigenous peoples’ inherent rights. The UN system and states recommitted to implementing the UN Declaration at the 2014 World Conference on Indigenous Peoples. Implementing the UN Declaration will require actions by multiple actors, including states, UN agencies and Indigenous peoples. However, NHRIs and potentially the subnational (or provincial-level) institutions, also play a crucial role in promoting and monitoring the implementation of international human rights standards, such as those provided for in the UN Declaration.

While this role is being increasingly recognized by the international community, a global survey of NHRIs reported that NHRIs feel underappreciated, are not as effective as they could be and that many of their recommendations to governments were not followed up. While the work of NHRIs is vital for the promotion and protection of human rights standards domestically, NHRIs also have an opportunity to advance human rights at the international level. There exists much research that speaks to the role of NHRIs in promoting international human rights law domestically, but none that speaks specifically to the role of NHRIs in promoting implementation of the UN Declaration. This paper explores the roles that NHRIs can play at both the international and the domestic level in implementing the UN Declaration. The paper begins with a description of the role of NHRIs internationally, then looks at the roles of NHRIs domestically. Finally, the paper concludes with examples of what various NHRIs are doing to implement the UN Declaration and makes recommendations for enhanced roles for NHRIs in this work. Provincial human rights institutions do not have the same standing as NHRIs internationally, this paper will consider the role for provincial institutions in the implementation of the UN Declaration domestically, where appropriate.


of the role of NHRIs internationally, then looks at the roles of NHRIs domestically. Finally, the paper concludes with examples of what various NHRIs are doing to implement the UN Declaration and makes recommendations for enhanced roles for NHRIs in this work. While provincial human rights institutions do not have the same standing as NHRIs internationally, this paper will consider the role for provincial institutions in the implementation of the UN Declaration domestically, where appropriate.

As well, engaging at the international level through collaboration with mechanisms such as the Human Rights Council and treaty bodies allows NHRIs to take a top-down approach to implementing human rights standards and overcome some of the barriers they face domestically. Enhancing the roles of NHRIs provides greater support for Indigenous peoples in their efforts to push for implementation, provides an arm’s-length (unbiased) intermediary in efforts to implement nationally and provides expert advice to government. The role of NHRIs in promoting the rights of Indigenous peoples as articulated in the UN Declaration is significant, as they are likely to “command more respect and authority than [non-governmental organizations] NGOs,” potentially including Indigenous peoples’ organizations.

### Various Mandates of NHRIs

Over the past few decades, there has been an increase in the establishment of national human rights institutions. As well, the roles and responsibilities of these institutions evolved: “Unlike a classical ombudsman office, the jurisdiction of a human rights commission is specifically focused on human rights and non-discrimination...” A human rights commission seeks to receive and investigate complaints alleging human rights abuses and discrimination based on gender, race/ethnicity, sexual orientation or physical disability.” It is challenging to discuss the roles of NHRIs generally, as each is established under a specific statute, which dictates its specific mandate, composition and structure. While the mandates of NHRIs vary, generally speaking, NHRIs are domestic bodies with a statutory or constitutional mandate to protect and promote human rights. While there are many variances on the mandates, all NHRIs are autonomous bodies mandated to promote and protect human rights. Most often, NHRIs are established with the responsibility to protect and promote human rights, often with reference to a specific domestic human rights statute.

While NHRIs are part of the state and funded by the state, they operate and function independently from the government. While their mandates vary, based on the specific statutory provisions that established them, core functions generally include complaint handling, human rights research and education, and making recommendations to government. Protecting human rights can be achieved through receiving, investigating and resolving complaints, as well as through mediating and monitoring activities. Promotion of human rights can be done through education, outreach, the media, publications, training and capacity building, and advising the government.

In fulfilling their mandates, NHRIs engage in activities at both the international and the domestic

---

8 Koo & Ramirez, supra note 5 at 1325.
9 Smith, supra note 5 at 909.
11 Carver, supra note 5 at 4.
12 See e.g. Canadian Human Rights Act, RSC 1985, c H-6 (“the Commission is generally responsible for the administration of this...Act”, s 27[1]).
13 GANHRI, “GANHRI SCA”, supra note 10; see also OHCHR, National Human Rights Institutions, supra note 10 at 13; see also Smith, supra note 5 at 909.
14 GANHRI, “GANHRI SCA”, supra note 10; see also Reif, “Building Democratic Institutions”, supra note 5 at 19; see also Guo, supra note 5 at 104.
15 GANHRI, “Paris Principles”, online: <http://nhri.ohchr.org/EN/AboutUs/Pages/ParisPrinciples.aspx>; see also OHCHR, National Human Rights Institutions, supra note 10 at 22.
16 GANHRI, “Paris Principles”, supra note 15; see also OHCHR, National Human Rights Institutions, supra note 10 at 23. See also Smith, supra note 5 at 905.

---

6 Smith, supra note 5 at 909.
level. There is increasing recognition of the important role of NHRIs in promoting human rights within the international arena, and organizations including the United Nations are acknowledging the importance of NHRIs in protecting human rights domestically.17 In fact, Sonia Cardenas asserts that the emergence of NHRIs “marks a potentially significant step in the implementation of international human rights law.”18 NHRIs do this by participating at the international level, but also by internalizing international human rights law at the domestic level:19 “the adoption of NHRIs in nation states involves the national incorporation of the global human rights regime. Incorporation indicates enactment of global human rights into national law and organizational structure.”20 NHRIs play a key role in bringing the international standards set out in the UN Declaration to the domestic level.21

One of the reasons that NHRIs play such an important role in implementing the UN Declaration in the domestic context is their intermediary role between governments and NGOs. NHRIs have the potential to mobilize human rights efforts due to their mandate of receiving and investigating complaints of human rights violations, as well as their relationship with human rights NGOs.22 Given their arm’s length from government, NHRIs can act as the essential link between government and civil society, bridging the divide between the rights of individuals and state responsibilities.23

Role of NHRIs Internationally

Within the UN system, NHRIs play an important role in the promotion and protection of rights, including the rights of Indigenous peoples. As Richard Carver points out, “Increasingly there is an expectation that NHRIs will act as links to the international human rights regime.”24 This section briefly describes how NHRIs can engage in the UN system and the roles that NHRIs can play within the UN system. This section also provides some good examples of NHRIs’ work at the United Nations to promote implementation of the UN Declaration.

The primary way for NHRIs to engage in international human rights work at the UN level is through accreditation with the Global Alliance of National Human Rights Institutions (GANHRI), formerly known as the International Coordinating Committee of National Institutions for the Promotion and Protection of Human Rights. This accreditation only applies to NHRIs, not to subnational or provincial human rights institutions. Accreditation is based on the “Paris Principles” relating to the status of national institutions.25 The Paris Principles provide a benchmark for NHRIs and reaffirmed the important role played by NHRIs at the 1993 World Conference on Human Rights.26 Through this accreditation, NHRIs have special rights and access at the international level.27

The Paris Principles resulted from a workshop on NHRIs coordinated by the UN Commission on Human Rights in 1991 and were adopted in 1993 by the United Nations General Assembly and require NHRIs to protect and promote human rights.28 Generally speaking, there are six main areas considered for accreditation under the Paris Principles: NHRIs must have a mandate and competence, which means they have a broad

---

17 Reif, “Building Democratic Institutions”, supra note 5 at 2.
18 Cardenas, supra note 7 at 23.
19 Guo, supra note 5 at 116.
20 Koo & Ramirez, supra note 5 at 1326.
21 See Reif, “Building Democratic Institutions”, supra note 5 (“all types of national human rights institutions can act as mechanisms for the domestic implementation of the international human rights obligations of the state and assist in strengthening human rights protection” at 2).
22 Koo & Ramirez, supra note 5 at 1342.
23 GANHRI, “Roles and types of NHRIs”, online: <http://nhri.ohchr.org/EN/AboutUs/Pages/RolesTypesNHRIs.aspx>; see also Guo, supra note 5 at 113.
24 Carver, supra note 5 at 3.
26 Haász, supra note 5 at 167.
27 GANHRI, “GANHRI SCA”, supra note 10; see also OHCHR, National Human Rights Institutions, supra note 10 at 21.
28 Linos & Pegram, supra note 5 at 1113; UNGA, Principles, supra note 25; GANHRI, “Paris Principles”, supra note 15; see also OHCHR, National Human Rights Institutions, supra note 10 at 21.
mandate based on universal human rights norms and standards; they must have autonomy from the government, independence that is provided for by statute or constitution; pluralism; adequate resources and adequate powers of investigation.\textsuperscript{29} As noted by Carver, “the adoption of the Paris Principles in 1991 not only marked the point at which clear standards for the creation of NHRI were articulated; it was also a turning point after which the formation of such institutions proceeded apace.”\textsuperscript{30} While Katerina Linos and Tom Pegram note that this framework may not be perfect, it is at least a concrete template for NHRI design.\textsuperscript{31}

Based on these principles, there are three levels of accreditation: “A,” “B,” or “C.” “A-status” institutions fully comply with the Paris Principles.\textsuperscript{32} These institutions have voting rights at meetings of national institutions; can hold office in the Bureau of the International Coordinating Committee (ICC), or any of its subcommittees; and are entitled to participate in the Human Rights Council’s sessions, speak to any agenda item, submit documentation and have their own seat.\textsuperscript{33} Currently, there are 78 A-status NHRI, including the Australian Human Rights Commission (AHRC), the Human Rights Commission of Malaysia (Suruhanjaya Hak Asasi Manusia Malaysia [SUHAKAM]), the New Zealand Human Rights Commission (NZHRC), the Kenya National Commission on Human Rights, the Nigerian National Human Rights Commission, the South African Human Rights Commission, the Canadian Human Rights Commission (CHRC), the Finnish National Human Rights Institution and the Norwegian National Human Rights Institution.\textsuperscript{34} B-status institutions either do not fully comply with the Paris Principles, or have not submitted the appropriate documentation to make that determination.\textsuperscript{35} These institutions cannot vote or hold office with the Bureau of the ICC, nor do they have rights with the Human Rights Council.\textsuperscript{36} Level “C” institutions are non-members that do not comply with the Paris Principles.\textsuperscript{37} They do not have any rights or privileges with the ICC or the Human Rights Council.\textsuperscript{38} There are 33 B-status institutions and 10 C-status institutions.\textsuperscript{39}

Through A-status accreditation, NHRI can engage in numerous mechanisms of the Human Rights Council, such as the universal periodic review (UPR); the special procedures, including the Special Rapporteur on the rights of Indigenous peoples; and the complaints procedures to advance the rights of Indigenous peoples.\textsuperscript{40} This role during the UPR surpasses the participation rights of NGOs (including Indigenous peoples’ organizations),\textsuperscript{41} and, thus, NHRI can play a significant role in promoting the rights of Indigenous peoples during the UPR. NHRI can play a very important role during the UPR to promote greater recognition of Indigenous peoples’ rights, as articulated in the UN Declaration. Before the UPR, NHRI can consult with the government when they create their report and urge the government to release a draft copy of their report for public comment.\textsuperscript{42} While the government should consult NHRI and other relevant stakeholders when making their report, this does not guarantee that NHRI information will be included in full in the state’s report.\textsuperscript{43} NHRI can prepare their own independent report with additional credible information on the human rights situation, including Indigenous peoples’ rights as articulated in the UN Declaration.\textsuperscript{44} Within their report, NHRI can raise issues and propose questions for the state under review and suggest

\begin{itemize}
\item \textsuperscript{29} GANHRI, “Paris Principles”, supra note 15; see OHCHR, National Human Rights Institutions, supra note 10 at 31.
\item \textsuperscript{30} Carver, supra note 5 at 6.
\item \textsuperscript{31} Linos & Pegram, supra note 5 at 1112.
\item \textsuperscript{32} GANHRI, “GANHRI SCA”, supra note 10; see also OHCHR, National Human Rights Institutions, supra note 10 at 44.
\item \textsuperscript{33} GANHRI, “GANHRI SCA”, supra note 10; see also OHCHR, National Human Rights Institutions, supra note 10 at 45.
\item \textsuperscript{34} GANHRI, Chart of the Status of National Institutions, online: <http://nhri.ohchr.org/EN/Documents/Status%20Accreditation%20Chart%20.pdf> [GANHRI, Chart of the Status].
\item \textsuperscript{35} GANHRI, “GANHRI SCA”, supra note 10; see also OHCHR, National Human Rights Institutions, supra note 10 at 45.
\item \textsuperscript{36} GANHRI, “GANHRI SCA”, supra note 10; see also OHCHR, National Human Rights Institutions, supra note 10 at 45.
\item \textsuperscript{37} GANHRI, “GANHRI SCA”, supra note 10; see also OHCHR, National Human Rights Institutions, supra note 10 at 45.
\item \textsuperscript{38} GANHRI, “GANHRI SCA”, supra note 10.
\item \textsuperscript{39} GANHRI, Chart of the Status, supra note 34.
\item \textsuperscript{41} Linos & Pegram, supra note 5 at 1128.
\item \textsuperscript{42} APF & OHCHR, supra note 40 at 105.
\item \textsuperscript{43} Ibid.
\item \textsuperscript{44} Ibid; see also OHCHR, National Human Rights Institutions, supra note 10 at 133.
\end{itemize}
recommendations that the working group could make to the state.45 During the UPR, NHRIs are entitled to make oral statements immediately after the state; however, the statements must be focused on the draft report.46 After the UPR, NHRIs can provide technical assistance, encourage their state to act on the recommendations, engage in their own implementation initiatives, raise awareness of the UPR and its recommendations, and monitor the state’s actions in implementing the recommendations.47

There are already some examples of NHRIs participating in the UPR and making reference to the UN Declaration that should be built upon for future UPRs. During Canada’s second UPR, the CHRC submitted a report, which included a reference to the UN Declaration: “In 2010, Canada gave its qualified support to the Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples. Despite Canada’s reservations, its endorsement provides additional clarity for the way Canada’s legal system might address Aboriginal human rights issues. Nevertheless, much remains to be done before the principles in the Declaration are comprehensively applied in the lives of Aboriginal peoples in Canada.”48

The AHRC’s submission for Australia’s second UPR went even further, including recommendations for Australia to take action to implement the UN Declaration: “The UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples has yet to be implemented in law, policy and practice. Recommendation: Government develop, in partnership with Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples, a National Strategy to give effect to the Declaration; include the Declaration in the definition of human rights in the Human Rights (Parliamentary Scrutiny) Act 2011 (Cth); and review existing legislation, policies and programmes for conformity with the Declaration.”49

Nationally, NHRIs should raise awareness of the complaints procedure, such as how it works, possible outcomes and its confidentiality.50 This complaints procedure was “established to address consistent patterns of gross and reliably attested violations of all human rights.”51 Importantly, the complaints procedure is the only global complaints procedure that protects all human rights and fundamental freedoms of the UN’s state members.52 NHRIs can submit complaints on a victim’s behalf if they have evidence that there is a consistent pattern of a human rights violation, and assist Indigenous claimants as they navigate the complaints procedure.53 By working closely with Indigenous communities prior to the release of any statements, NHRIs avoid speaking for Indigenous peoples and instead assist them in their own advocacy. Furthermore, consultation with Indigenous claimants prior to engagement with the complaints procedure would comply with article 15(2) of the UN Declaration, which mandates that “States shall take effective measures, in consultation and cooperation with the indigenous peoples concerned, to combat prejudice and eliminate discrimination.”54 These can be important tools for promoting implementation of the UN Declaration, as there is no body charged with direct monitoring.

Another key activity that NHRIs can engage in to promote implementation of the UN Declaration is to encourage human rights treaty monitoring bodies to use the UN Declaration to interpret how different human rights treaties apply to Indigenous peoples.55 As Carver notes, “after an initial lack of clarity about the role of NHRIs in reporting to treaty bodies, they are now seen as an important source of independent information

45 APF & OHCHR, supra note 40 at 109; see also OHCHR, National Human Rights Institutions, supra note 10 at 133.
46 APF & OHCHR, supra note 40 at 106.
47 Ibid; see also OHCHR, National Human Rights Institutions, supra note 10, at 133.
50 APF & OHCHR, supra note 40 at 115.
53 APF & OHCHR, supra note 40 at 115.
54 UN Declaration, supra note 1, art 15(2) [emphasis added].
55 APF & OHCHR, supra note 40 at 120.
Treaty monitoring bodies play an important role in the legal articulation of human rights, as the Human Rights Council is increasingly politicized.\(^{57}\)

States should consult NHRIs when developing their reports. NHRIs should also submit an alternative or parallel report on their state’s compliance with the treaty.\(^{58}\) In their alternative reports, NHRIs should use the UN Declaration to interpret state obligations under the treaty in relation to Indigenous peoples and propose recommendations that the treaty body could make to the state.\(^{59}\) NHRIs should also attend the periodic reviews for each treaty, including reference to the rights of Indigenous peoples. Finally, and importantly, NHRIs can also use the treaty bodies’ jurisprudence in their work when advocating for law and policy reforms.\(^{60}\)

The AHRC referenced the UN Declaration in their submission to Australia’s periodic review before the Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights: “Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples continue to experience an unacceptable level of disadvantage across most socio-economic indicators. The Commission has expressed concern about two over-arching issues that impact on the enjoyment of human rights for indigenous peoples: a) a lack of meaningful consultation with Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples in programs and policies that affect them and b) a failure to implement the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples.”\(^{61}\)

The NZHRC has also included recommendations related to the UN Declaration in their submission to the Committee on Elimination of Racial Discrimination for New Zealand’s periodic review: “Recommendation 6: The Committee urges the Government to commit to addressing the disproportionate representation of Māori in the criminal justice system by: (a) Ensuring actions are based on the Treaty and UNDRIP, and are informed by the Waitangi Tribunal findings and [the Expert Mechanism on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples] EMRIP study.”\(^{62}\)

NHRIs are also increasingly getting involved at the United Nations Permanent Forum on Indigenous Issues (UNPFII). At the sixteenth session of the UNPFII, the APF held a side event on NHRIs and the rights of Indigenous peoples.\(^{63}\) The side event included participation from many NHRIs from the Asia Pacific region, including the AHRC, the NZHRC and SUHAKAM. On May 22, 2013, the Australian government and the AHRC delivered a joint statement to the UNPFII, discussing Australia’s plans to implement the UN Declaration:

The Australian Government is committed to assisting Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples to achieve improved outcomes. We are working with the Australian Human Rights Commission and the National Congress of Australia’s First Peoples to increase awareness of, and encourage dialogue about, the Declaration in policy development, program implementation and service delivery as a way to embed the Declaration in how business is done. This strategy is essential to ensuring a cultural change that better acknowledges and addresses the needs identified by Indigenous peoples and communities. We will work to raise awareness of the Declaration with Aboriginal and Torres Strait peoples and all stakeholders, and facilitate discussion regarding the principles

---

\(^{56}\) Carver, supra note 5 at 2; see also Hodasz, supra note 5 at 169.

\(^{57}\) Linos & Pegram, supra note 5 at 1129.

\(^{58}\) APF & OHCHR, supra note 40 at 122; see also Cardenas, supra note 7 at 25.

\(^{59}\) APF & OHCHR, supra note 40 at 122-23.

\(^{60}\) Ibid at 126.


underpinning the Declaration and what they mean in a practical context.\textsuperscript{66}

Another opportunity for NHRIs to contribute to the work of implementing the UN Declaration is through EMRIP. EMRIP recently adopted a new mandate under Resolution 33/25 of the Human Rights Council to engage with countries upon their request to provide technical assistance when developing domestic legislation and policies relating to Indigenous peoples, including the implementation of the UN Declaration.\textsuperscript{66} NHRIs can be involved in this new process by encouraging their state government to request technical assistance from EMRIP and by aiding the state in implementing the recommendations.\textsuperscript{66} Importantly, it was recommended that EMRIP increase its engagement with NHRIs.\textsuperscript{66} The tenth session of EMRIP included an interactive dialogue with NHRIs, regional human rights institutions and similar mechanisms.\textsuperscript{64} Expert member Laila Vars acknowledged that EMRIP sees NHRIs as key partners in the implementation of the UN Declaration. This dialogue included representatives from human rights commissions in New Zealand, Nepal and Mexico. This dialogue provided an opportunity for NHRIs to share some positive developments achieved in the implementation of the UN Declaration.

Victoria Tauli-Corpuz, the current Special Rapporteur on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, is mandated to promote Indigenous rights and can do so by undertaking country visits, receiving information on Indigenous rights violations, urging states to take action against Indigenous rights violations, and reporting to the Human Rights Council and the UNGA on her findings and recommendations.\textsuperscript{69} Furthermore, the Special Rapporteur is specifically mandated with implementing international Indigenous human rights standards within states by promoting best practices such as new laws and government programs.\textsuperscript{70}

A country visit by a Special Rapporteur is one way to give a human rights situation international attention and is therefore an important opportunity for an NHRI to increase its effectiveness and build support for its work.\textsuperscript{71} NHRIs can provide their views, experience and knowledge to advise the expert on human rights issues.\textsuperscript{72} Additionally, after the Special Rapporteur visits a country, he or she releases a report of the visit during a session of the Human Rights Council.\textsuperscript{73} NHRIs have the opportunity to address the Human Rights Council immediately after their respective state.\textsuperscript{74} NHRIs should publicize the expert’s report and monitor the state’s actions in implementing the report’s recommendations.\textsuperscript{75} NHRIs can draw upon the report as an authoritative source when making recommendations to the government.\textsuperscript{76}

### Domestic Actions of NHRIs to Implement the UN Declaration

NHRIs play an important role in domesticking international human rights law, such as the UN Declaration. Many of the ideas in this section could also apply to provincial human rights institutions, as they, too, can play a role in implementing the UN Declaration domestically. This can happen in a variety of ways, including


\textsuperscript{66} Ibid.


\textsuperscript{70} OHCHR, “Special Rapporteur”, supra note 69.

\textsuperscript{71} APF & OHCHR, supra note 40 at 113.

\textsuperscript{72} Ibid; see also OHCHR, National Human Rights Institutions, supra note 10 at 132.

\textsuperscript{73} APF & OHCHR, supra note 40 at 113.

\textsuperscript{74} Ibid; see also OHCHR, National Human Rights Institutions, supra note 10 at 132.

\textsuperscript{75} APF & OHCHR, supra note 40 at 113; see also OHCHR, National Human Rights Institutions, supra note 10 at 132.

\textsuperscript{76} APF & OHCHR, supra note 40 at 113; see also OHCHR, National Human Rights Institutions, supra note 10 at 132.
integrating international and domestic human rights laws into the investigation and resolution of a complaint. In fact, Sanzhuang Guo argues that their interpretation of international human rights law domestically is an indicator of their effectiveness. More specifically, NHRIs can rely upon international human rights obligations when interpreting how national legislation applies in specific situations. Another possibility is to use international human rights, such as the UN Declaration, as examples of “good practices.” NHRIs can undertake actions such as offer advice on human rights issues, encourage their states to ratify human rights treaties, review proposed legislation for human rights violations and assist in the development of national action plans.

One of the challenges moving forward is that “if NHRIs play a role in domesticating international human rights law, one would expect to see this is reflected in their founding legislation, with institutions increasingly assigned a mandate that is derived from international rather than national standards.” At the time of this research, the UN Declaration was not specifically mentioned in the enabling legislation of any NHRI, although the enabling statutes for some NHRIs explicitly authorize the NHRI to promote international human rights law. This does not mean that NHRIs cannot consider the UN Declaration in their work, but rather simply that explicit inclusion in their mandates may better facilitate this work.

There are some good examples where NHRIs have taken actions to implement the UN Declaration. While the precise actions that NHRIs can take to either directly implement the UN Declaration or support and promote implementation will depend on the specific mandate of the NHRI, this section provides some examples that can be taken by NHRIs under the general aim of promoting human rights. This section surveys examples from within Canada and internationally, including the CHRC, the Ontario Human Rights Commission (OHRC), the Canadian Association of Statutory Human Rights Agencies (CASHRA), the AHRC, the NZHRC and SUHAKAM.

The CHRC and the Canadian Human Rights Tribunal

The first example of implementing the UN Declaration comes from the CHRC. The CHRC was created under the Canadian Human Rights Act (CHRA) in 1977 to administer the CHRA and the Employment Equity Act. The CHRC promotes equal opportunity and cultivates an inclusive society free from discrimination based on one of the prohibited grounds of discrimination, namely race, national or ethnic origin, colour, religion, age, sex, sexual orientation, marital status, family status, genetic characteristics, disability or conviction for an offence for which a pardon has been granted or in respect of which a record suspension has been ordered. The CHRC acts independently from the Government of Canada and holds Canada accountable to human rights matters.

The CHRC achieves its objectives through research and policy development, a fair and effective complaints process, advocating for the public interest with the aim to advance human rights for all Canadians and auditing federal employers to ensure compliance with employment equity. The CHRC’s research and policy development advances human rights by informing the general public, academics, federal organizations and members of
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Parliament on human rights trends in Canada.88 This research advises, informs and influences public debate, and includes submissions to the United Nations and Parliament on human rights issues.89 The CHRC protects human rights by receiving and resolving discrimination complaints under the CHRA against the federal government, First Nations governments and federally regulated private companies.90 The CHRC also represents the public interest in cases that may impact human rights law with the aim of setting precedents.91 The CHRC engages critical role in developing international instruments, including sharing and gathering information on human rights issues in Canada. The CHRC’s annual report advocates that92 “We must reaffirm our respect of international human rights agreements by investing in our domestic human rights institutions.”93 The CHRC has played a critical role in developing international instruments that promote human rights.94 The CHRC engages extensively at the UN level, including before treaty monitoring bodies such as the Human Rights Committee and the Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, as well as making statements to the Human Rights Council and EMRIP.95 In 2016–2017, the CHRC’s report acknowledged that many organizations have advocated for the CHRC “to be more proactive, more vocal and needs to take more leadership in setting the human rights agenda in Canada....They also said that the Commission should monitor and report on international human rights obligations, comment on bills and new laws, and create greater synergies with other human rights organizations and institutions.”96 Another key way that NHRIs can use the UN Declaration is to use it as the baseline for developing positions on policy and legislation relating to Indigenous peoples. The CHRC has included reference to the UN Declaration in its submissions to Parliament, for example, in the CHRC’s Special Report to Parliament on the Impacts of Bill C-21 (An Act to Amend the Canadian Human Rights Act), which would repeal section 67 of the CHRA, which had excluded matters under the Indian Act from human rights scrutiny. The CHRC mentioned the importance of the UN Declaration: “Achieving fundamental societal change will depend on determined, concerted efforts across all levels of government with full participation of Aboriginal peoples as well as respect for Aboriginal and Treaty rights and the United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples.”97 Here, the CHRC used the UN Declaration as the benchmark for understanding Indigenous peoples’ rights within its domestic work on human rights.

Another positive example of using the UN Declaration to understand Indigenous peoples’ rights was in the CHRC’s 2013 Report on Equality Rights of Aboriginal People, where the UN Declaration was referenced numerous times as an authority for identifying Indigenous peoples’ rights, namely the rights to economic well-being, employment, education, housing, health, justice and safety, political engagement and social inclusion.98 The CHRC also included the UN Declaration in its 2011 submission to Parliament, Now a Matter of Rights: Extending Full Human Rights Protection to First Nations, a special report detailing the steps the CHRC has taken in response to repealing section 67.
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of the CHRA.\textsuperscript{100} Specifically, the CHRC used the UN Declaration to develop a set of guiding principles for a community-based dispute-resolution process, as well as the interpretative provision in the CHRA.\textsuperscript{101}

The Canadian Human Rights Tribunal (CHRT) receives complaints from the CHRC and determines whether discrimination has occurred, and if so, fashions an appropriate remedy.\textsuperscript{102} The CHRT implements the UN Declaration by referencing it and using it as a legal authority in its decisions. In First Nations Child and Family Caring Society of Canada et al. v. Attorney General of Canada (for the Minister of Indian and Northern Affairs Canada), the CHRT relied extensively on international human rights obligations in its decision to determine whether Indian and Northern Affairs Canada was discriminating on the basis of race and/or national or ethnic origin by providing inequitable and insufficient funding for family and child services to First Nations on reserves and in the Yukon.\textsuperscript{103} The CHRT specifically discussed the UN Declaration and its application in Canada: “Article 2 provides that Indigenous peoples and individuals are free and equal to all other peoples and individuals and have the right to be free from any kind of discrimination, in the exercise of their rights, in particular rights based on their indigenous origin or identity. Although this international instrument is, at the time being, a declaration and not a treaty or a covenant, and is not legally binding except to the extent that some of its provisions reflect customary international law, when Canada endorsed it, it reaffirmed its commitment to ‘... improve the well-being of Aboriginal Canadians.’\textsuperscript{104}\textsuperscript{105}

In Tabor v. Millbrook First Nation, the CHRT referenced the UN Declaration when discussing the right to self-governance and self-determination for Indigenous peoples, even though the UN Declaration was not argued by the parties.\textsuperscript{106} In this case, Stacey Marshall Tabor argued that she was discriminated against based on her gender when Millbrook First Nation did not make her a captain of its fishery.\textsuperscript{107} Decision maker Sophie Marchildon wrote, “I recognize that self-governance is of paramount importance for Aboriginal peoples. In fact, self-determination is recognized in the Annex and Article 4 of the United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples (which Canada endorsed on November 12, 2010).”\textsuperscript{108}

The CHRT also allowed parties to file submissions on the UN Declaration in First Nations Child and Family Caring Society of Canada and Assembly of First Nations v. Attorney General of Canada (representing the Minister of Indian Affairs and Northern Development),\textsuperscript{109} Tanner v. Gambler First Nation\textsuperscript{110} and Matson et al. v. Indian and Northern Affairs Canada.\textsuperscript{111} Through these references to the UN Declaration, the CHRT contributes to its increased legitimacy as the key international human rights instrument on Indigenous peoples’ rights, and also expands upon jurisprudence that explains and applies the rights in a domestic context. These cases demonstrate the role that the UN Declaration can play in interpreting domestic human rights instruments, and importantly, the role of NHRIs in making these connections.

The OHRC

Even when NHRIs do not have a complaints process, they can still play an important role in promoting understanding and implementation of the UN Declaration. The OHRC was established in 1961 to administer the Ontario Human Rights Code.\textsuperscript{112} The OHRC works alongside the Human Rights Tribunal of Ontario and the Human Rights Legal Support Centre.\textsuperscript{113} Broadly, they are mandated
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to promote, protect and advance respect for human rights, and to work toward eliminating discrimination in Ontario. The specific functions of the OHRC are outlined in section 29 of the Ontario Human Rights Code and include developing and conducting educational programs for the public; facilitating research on discriminatory practices and making recommendations on how to eliminate those practices; examining legislation to determine if it is inconsistent with the Ontario Human Rights Code and making submissions to provincial, federal and municipal governments; initiating reviews and inquiries into incidents of conflict or tension in communities, industries and institutions to make recommendations, and help reduce the conflict and prevent it from occurring in the future, which may include legal action; encouraging the public, municipal or private agencies, organizations, groups and people to engage in programs to reduce conflict based on a prohibited ground of discrimination; preparing policies and guidelines on how the Ontario Human Rights Code should be interpreted; and making applications to the Human Rights Tribunal of Ontario if it is in the public interest to do so.

The OHRC also took a supportive position on the UN Declaration, even when Canada’s position was still officially against the UN Declaration. The chief commissioner wrote letters to the prime minister, encouraging him to withdraw Canada’s opposition to the UN Declaration. Now that Canada has issued statements supporting the UN Declaration and expressing its intention to implement it, NHRIs (as well as subnational institutions) play an important role in keeping the pressure on Canada to take concrete steps toward implementation.

NHRIs’ starting point for implementing the UN Declaration is to use the UN Declaration to interpret their enabling human rights legislation. One good example of this is the OHRC’s inclusion of the UN Declaration in its policies on interpreting the Ontario Human Rights Code, recognizing that “International human rights laws and instruments set standards and obligations for domestic human rights law and policy.” The OHRC recognized that Canadian and Ontario laws and human rights provisions that impacted Indigenous peoples should be in line with the provisions of the UN Declaration. The Policy on preventing discrimination based on Creed cites the UN Declaration numerous times, including a reference to article 12, explaining: “the United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples (UNDRIP) sets forth minimal standards and provides an internationally recognized framework for measuring the human rights of Indigenous peoples around the world. It also has provisions for protecting the rights of Indigenous peoples to practice their religious and spiritual beliefs.” The OHRC also references the UN Declaration when discussing how sacred sites and objects should be managed by the government. This is an excellent way that the UN Declaration can be used to interpret general human rights standards in the context of Indigenous peoples’ human rights.

CASHRA

CASHRA was established in 1972 and is an umbrella organization of the federal, provincial and territorial human rights commissions in Canada. The association promotes human rights within Canada and abroad through public education projects and holds annual conferences where members can exchange information on human rights laws. CASHRA also passes resolutions on human rights issues that are of
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national interest and acts as an intervenor in cases before the Supreme Court of Canada.128

In a 2012 news release, CASHRA called upon all levels of government to implement the UN Declaration.129 CASHRA explained that “The Declaration is a positive document that maps out a path for Indigenous peoples to be free from discrimination and secure in their identities and life choices. It recognizes the fundamental rights of Indigenous peoples around the world, and outlines minimum standards for their survival, dignity and wellbeing.”

Beginning in November 2014, CASHRA created a working group on the UN Declaration.130 But even before this, CASHRA discussed the UN Declaration in its February 21, 2013, motion on missing and murdered Aboriginal women and girls.131 CASHRA found that the UN Declaration provides for a legal framework that is essential in the promotion and protection of Indigenous rights before urging the Government of Canada to take action to address the systemic discrimination that results in a disproportionate amount of violence toward Indigenous women and girls.132 CASHRA also passed a resolution endorsing the Truth and Reconciliation Commission’s calls to action, including the creation of a national and independent body to monitor and evaluate the progress toward reconciliation between Indigenous and non-Indigenous people in Canada. This resolution recognized that a national council would facilitate a “nation-to-nation” relationship between Indigenous peoples and the government and would aid in implementing the UN Declaration, which Canada has fully endorsed.133

The AHRC

The AHRC was established in 1986 by the Australian Human Rights Commission Act 1986.134 The AHRC aims to promote and protect human rights in Australia and reaches those goals through education and public awareness. The commission develops human rights education programs and resources for schools, workplaces and the community;135 resolves human rights complaints;136 holds public inquiries for human rights issues that are of national importance;137 provides legal advice to assist the court when cases involve human rights principles;138 provides submissions to Parliament and governments to advise them when developing laws, policies and programs;139 and conducts research on human rights and discrimination issues.140

The AHRC has a commissioner dedicated specifically toward promoting and protecting Indigenous peoples’ rights.141 June Oscar AO began her five-year term as Australia’s Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander social justice commissioner on April 3, 2017.142 The function of this specialized commission is to create annual social justice and native title reports that are tabled in federal Parliament; monitor the impact that laws, policies and programs have on Indigenous peoples; provide policy advice on pertinent Indigenous human rights issues; and support Indigenous education
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This commission also develops international human rights standards that are related to Indigenous peoples. A dedicated Indigenous rights commissioner is important because it provides the AHRC with expertise in Indigenous issues. It should not be assumed that NHRIs are familiar with the issues faced by Indigenous peoples; a dedicated commissioner who is an established Indigenous advocate would combat any potential knowledge gaps.

The AHRC was one of the first NHRIs to take action on public education on the UN Declaration. In fact, the AHRC produced one of the first handbooks on the UN Declaration in 2010, which includes both a full version (approximately 70 pages) and an abridged version (approximately 10 pages). The commission has developed a website with a lot of information, including information geared toward Indigenous communities. The website uses the headings “get it, know it, use it” to organize the information. The AHRC developed several resources on the UN Declaration, which can be found on the website, including frequently asked questions and answers, the Community Guide to the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, a poster with the provisions of the UN Declaration and a YouTube video. The website section on “use it” provides a few brief examples of how people can use and cite the UN Declaration in their work. This aspect of building Indigenous community capacity around the UN Declaration is a critical role that NHRIs can take, as many Indigenous organizations do not have the time or resources to do this work, and many Indigenous communities might not trust information provided by the state government. NHRIs are uniquely placed to operate as a conduit between the international human rights standards and the on-the-ground reality experienced by Indigenous peoples. Consequently, NHRIs have a key strategic role to play in giving full effect to the standards contained in the UN Declaration.

The AHRC developed a series of papers to engage Australians in dialogue on implementing the UN Declaration. The papers discuss a range of topics, such as giving effect to the UN Declaration; self-determination; participation in decision making and free, prior and informed consent; culture; and equality and non-discrimination. To promote dialogue, each paper ends with a series of questions for people to consider. The AHRC also partnered with the National Congress of Australia’s First Peoples to facilitate a series of dialogues on the UN Declaration. These nationwide dialogues facilitated understanding of the UN Declaration among Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander communities, government, business and industry, and was formally supported by Australia in 2009. One of the goals of these dialogues was to integrate the principles and rights outlined in the UN Declaration into Australian policy and practice. Furthermore, the hope was that the ideas from these dialogues could be used to develop a national strategy on implementation of the UN Declaration over a 10-year period.

One of the key activities of the Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander commissioner is the Social Justice and Native Title Report to the Australian Parliament. The 2013 Social Justice and Native Title Report reiterated the importance of implementing the UN Declaration to help rebuild the relationship between Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples and other Australians.
The NZHRC

The NZHRC was established in 1977 and is a statutory body under the Human Rights Act 1993. The commission was created to advance human rights in New Zealand and to encourage harmonious relations among New Zealand’s diverse populations. The NZHRC also monitors equal employment opportunities and helps resolve complaints about discrimination. The commission achieves its goals through monitoring the implementation of the New Zealand Human Rights Action Plan; providing education, advocacy and promotion of human rights; monitoring compliance with international human rights instruments and New Zealand law; resolving and responding to human rights complaints; and by acting as an intervener before courts and tribunals. The NZHRC is also responsible for coordinating human rights activities and programs, making public statements on human rights issues, conducting research on human rights issues, specifically those related to the Treaty of Waitangi and its relationship with domestic and international human rights law, and creating guidelines and codes of conduct to encourage compliance with the Human Rights Act.

Raising public awareness of the UN Declaration has been one of the key actions taken by the NZHRC. On June 1, 2017, the NZHRC released an article in Tūrangawaewae, the Human Rights Commission's newsletter, titled “More work on putting UNDRIP into action needed.” The NZHRC described the implementation gap between recognition of the rights in the UN Declaration and their realization on the ground. The NZHRC held multiple events to promote awareness of and discussion on the UN Declaration prior to its tenth anniversary. The NZHRC has held fora on the UN Declaration. The first forum in September 2016 focused on the UN Declaration’s significance in New Zealand. The second forum focused on the international reporting processes to advance Maori rights and provided an overview of upcoming reporting opportunities and how Maori could prepare their own shadow reports. These fora are important tools to promote awareness of the UN Declaration and how it can be used to improve Maori peoples’ situation in Aoteroa/New Zealand. In 2016, the NZHRC produced The Rights of Indigenous Peoples: What you need to know, a guide that covers Indigenous rights and the UN Declaration.

A second key action on raising awareness is training sessions for policy advisers. The training included information on relevant international human rights instruments such as the UN Declaration. The training, which is expected to extend to the public sector, is important because it provides policy writers with an understanding of the UN Declaration, vital for the promotion of Indigenous peoples’ rights.

The NZHRC also has a dedicated Indigenous rights commissioner, Karen Johansen, who was appointed

---

In February 2008, in her role as Indigenous rights commissioner, Johansen has presented to EMRIP on the implementation of the UN Declaration and has worked closely with the Independent Monitoring Mechanism regarding the UN Declaration in Aotearoa/New Zealand, a working group created by Tangata Whenua and endorsed by the National Iwi Chairs Forum to act as experts independent of government. Unfortunately, no new Indigenous rights commissioner was appointed when Johansen retired.

SUHAKAM was established in 1999 under the Human Rights Commission of Malaysia Act 1999, Act 597. The functions of SUHAKAM include promoting awareness and providing education on human rights, advising and assisting the government when it formulates legislation and procedures and informing it on the necessary measures that need to be taken, making recommendations to the government on the subscription or accession of treaties and other international human rights instruments, and inquiring into complaints of human rights infringements.

The Human Rights Commission of Malaysia Act 1999, Act 597, provides SUHAKAM with powers to enable it to discharge its functions effectively. SUHAKAM is empowered to undertake research through programs, seminars and workshops, and to disseminate and distribute the results of such research; advise the government of complaints against it and recommend appropriate measures to be taken; study and verify any infringements of human rights; visit places of detention in accordance with procedures as prescribed by laws relating to the places of detention and make necessary recommendations; issue public statements on human rights as and when necessary; undertake appropriate activities as necessary.

In 2010, SUHAKAM conducted a National Inquiry into the Land Rights of Indigenous Peoples, which was completed in 2012. Through the national inquiry, SUHAKAM found that Indigenous peoples faced substantial and discriminatory obstacles in exercising their rights to own, possess and control their lands and territories. The UN Declaration was used extensively throughout the report as an authority, forming part of the legal framework that granted rights to Indigenous peoples in Malaysia. The UN Declaration is mentioned extensively in SUHAKAM’s annual reports to highlight the Malaysian government’s infringements of the UN Declaration and to make recommendations for change that align with the UN Declaration.
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This overview demonstrates that there are many actions that NHRIs can undertake to promote implementation of the UN declaration in the domestic realm. Using the UN declaration within the domestic work of NHRIs is a means of extending the scope of Indigenous peoples’ rights protected at the domestic level, perhaps even beyond those specifically articulated in their enabling legislation.192

Conclusion and Recommendations

As demonstrated throughout this paper, NHRIs play a critical role in the promotion and protection of human rights. This role is relevant to the implementation of the UN Declaration. NHRIs play a role at both the domestic and the international level in promoting implementation of the UN Declaration. At the international level, NHRIs can do the following:

→ participate in sessions with the Human Rights Commission and highlight domestic implementation of the UN Declaration;193

→ participate during the UPR country report, referencing the domestic situation of Indigenous peoples’ rights in relation to the standards set out in the UN Declaration;194

→ interact with treaty monitoring bodies and provide them with shadow reports that encourage interpretation of the treaty in line with the UN Declaration;195

→ contribute to the work of UN Special Rapporteurs, highlighting how the UN Declaration is, and is not, being implemented;196

→ work with states to achieve sustainable development goals in a way that respects and promotes the rights of Indigenous peoples as recognized in the UN Declaration;

→ connect with NGOs;197 and

→ bring complaints to international bodies on behalf of Indigenous peoples for violations of human rights, including the rights recognized in the UN Declaration.

At the domestic level, NHRIs can:

→ use the UN Declaration to interpret basic domestic human rights legislation for complaints and any reports relevant to Indigenous peoples’ rights, highlighting the content of the rights provided by the UN Declaration and expanding the scope of the rights available;198

→ use the UN Declaration to consider complaints and conduct investigations when there has been an alleged violation of Indigenous peoples’ rights;199

→ monitor domestic legislation to ensure conformity with the UN Declaration,200 including proposing laws that give effect to the UN Declaration and identifying laws that are inconsistent with the UN Declaration;201

→ lobby governments to broaden the NHRIs’ founding legislation to provide NHRIs with an explicit mandate to apply international law, including the UN Declaration;202

→ advise the government on how to implement the UN Declaration, including assisting in the development of a national action plan;203
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→ encourage the government to implement the UN Declaration;\textsuperscript{204}

→ promote public awareness of the UN Declaration through research and education,\textsuperscript{205} including assisting in building the capacity of Indigenous peoples to use the UN Declaration;

→ use the UN Declaration, directly or indirectly, when bringing complaints forward to tribunals;\textsuperscript{206}

→ create a “best practices guide” for implementing the UN Declaration in the specific state context;\textsuperscript{207}

→ use the UN Declaration when engaging in domestic monitoring and reporting to domestic parliaments on human rights situations; and

→ establish a dedicated Indigenous rights commissioner as was done by the AHRC and the NZHRC.

As demonstrated throughout this paper, NHRIs and provincial human rights institutions play an important role in persuading states such as Canada to implement the UN Declaration. While the work of NHRIs, such as the CHRC, is vital for the promotion and protection of human rights standards, including the UN Declaration at the domestic level, this paper has also demonstrated that NHRIs have an important role to play at the international level in promoting implementation of the UN Declaration. In both domestic and international arenas, it is important that NHRIs work alongside and in partnership with Indigenous peoples to achieve the goals of the UN Declaration.
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