

INSTITUTE FOR NEW ECONOMIC THINKING TORONTO 2014 CONFERENCE **WORKING PROGRAM**

HUMAN AFTER ALL | Innovation | Disruption | Society

April 10-12, 2014 Fairmont Royal York Hotel Toronto, Canada

Conference Program | Thursday, April 10 ALL TIMES EST | SESSION TITLES NOT FINAL

8:00 – 12:00 *Registration and Exhibits*

9:00 – 12:00 *Press Activities and Young Scholars Workshop*

12:00 Conference Open

12:00 - 12:45 Opening Remarks

CANADIAN ROOM

The Right Honourable Stephen Harper, Prime Minister of Canada Rohinton Medhora, President, Centre for International Governance Innovation Robert Johnson, President, Institute for New Economic Thinking

12:45 – 12:50 Show Open (Multimedia)

CANADIAN ROOM

Lunch 1:00 - 2:45

CANADIAN ROOM

KEYNOTE 1:00 - 2:45

CANADIAN ROOM

Is Innovation Always A Good Thing?

Technology and innovation create "disruption." That basically means creating new markets or value networks, which eventually disrupts earlier technologies. New products, new inventions, new sources of demand are all possible. Yet, the very innovation that creates these opportunities also can create job losses as well as having significant distributional consequences for society as a whole. The panel seeks to explore this duality.

James Balsillie, Chair, Centre for International Governance Innovation Lisa Cook, Professor, Department of Economics, Michigan State University Robert Johnson, President, Institute for New Economic Thinking Richard Nelson, Professor of Economics, Columbia University



Moderator: Richard Waters, Financial Times

PLENARY CANADIAN ROOM 3:00 - 4:30

Innovation: Do Private Returns Produce the Social Returns We Need?

The machines of the first age replaced and multiplied the physical labour of humans and animals. The machines of the second age will replace and multiply our intelligence. The driving force behind this revolution will, argue the "techno-positivists," exponentially increase the power (or exponentially reduce the cost) of computing. The celebrated example is Moore's Law, named after Gordon Moore, a founder of Intel. For half a century, the number of transistors on a semiconductor chip has doubled at least every two years. But the information age has coincided with - and must, to some extent, have caused - adverse economic trends; stagnation of median real incomes: rising inequality of labour income and of the distribution of income between labour and capital; and growing long-term unemployment. Are the great gains in wealth and material prosperity created by our entrepreneurs in and of themselves sufficient to produce desired social returns demanded in today's world?

Mariana Mazzucato, Professor, University of Sussex Peter Thiel, President and Chief Executive Officer, Thiel Capital Simon Head, Scholar, Institute of Public Knowledge, New York University and Associate Fellow, Rothermere American Institute at Oxford Stian Westlake, Executive Director, National Endowment for Science Technology and the Arts

Moderator: Chrystia Freeland, Member of the Parliament of Canada, Toronto Centre

PLENARY 4:45 - 6:15

CANADIAN ROOM

Have we Repaired Financial Regulations since Lehman?

The 2008 global financial crisis led to the worst recession in the developed world since the Great Depression. Governments had to respond decisively on a large scale to contain the destructive impact of massive debt deflation, (although there is some question as to the degree to which this represented support for the financial services industry vs the needs of the real economy). Still, large financial institutions such as American International Group, Bear Stearns, Lehman Brothers, Countrywide Financial, Washington Mutual, Wachovia, Northern Rock, and Landsbanki collapsed; thousands of small-to-medium-sized financial institutions failed or needed to be rescued; millions of households lost their retirement savings, jobs, homes, and communities; and numerous non-financial businesses closed.

Five years later, we are still experiencing the effects of the crisis. Are the financial reforms and regulations introduced since the onset of the crisis likely to be effective in preventing another catastrophe?

Anat Admati, Professor, Stanford Graduate School of Business Andrew Haldane, Executive Director of Financial Stability, Bank of England Edward Kane, Professor of Finance, Boston College Richard Bookstaber, U.S. Treasury with the Office of Financial Research and FSOC

Martin Wolf, Financial Times Moderator:

Cocktail Reception 6:15 - 7:15

PRE-FUNCTION AREA

KEYNOTE CANADIAN ROOM 7:15 - 9:00

Innovation: To What Purpose?

cigionline.org

ineteconomics.org | facebook.com/ineteconomics I facebook.com/cigionline

300 Park Avenue South, 5th Floor, New York, NY 10010 USA 57 Erb Street West, Waterloo, ON N2L 6C2 Canada



Innovation is said to be essential for survival in most industries. Yet, innovation can be very risky - some innovations can even destroy value. How can managers and entrepreneurs know what to do, and how should this trade-off between innovation and risk be treated? What are the broader social goals that ought to be achieved via innovation?

<u>Introduction</u>: The Honourable Kathleen Wynne, Premier of Ontario

John Ralston Saul - Novelist, Essayist and President, PEN International

Moderator: Rohinton Medhora, President, Centre for International Governance Innovation

Day 1 End 9:00

Conference Program | Friday, April 11 ALL TIMES EST | SESSION TITLES NOT FINAL

SUNRISE BREAKFAST PLENARY 7:45 - 9:00

CANADIAN ROOM

Reflexivity and Knightean Uncertainty: Implications for Economics

In December the Journal of Economic Methodologu published a special issue on "George Soros's Theory of Reflexivity and the Methodology of Economic Science." The issue featured contributions from 18 leading scholars. On this panel four of the contributors will discuss and debate key issues from the symposium and discuss their views on the relationship between reflexivity, fallibility, Knightian uncertainty and the implications for economic theory.

Eric Beinhocker, Executive Director, Institute for New Economic Thinking, Oxford Martin School, University of Oxford

Roman Frydman, Professor of Economics, New York University, Chairman, Institute for New Economic Thinking Program on Imperfect Knowledge Economics Alex Rosenberg, R. Taylor Cole Professor of Philosophy, Duke University Cars Hommes, Professor of Economics, University of Amsterdam

Moderator:

8:00 – 12:00 *Registration and Exhibits*

9:15 - 10:30 PLENARY

CANADIAN ROOM

Speculation and Innovation

Economic bubbles and the corresponding speculation that accompany them inevitably bust. At times, the consequences of these can be grave or transient. When speculation infects the credit system that fuels the economy – and, especially when its object offers no prospect of increased economic productivity, the consequences of this collapse are felt mostly in the short term and are unequivocally negative, maybe even catastrophic.

But when the damage of speculation is limited to the market for equity and debt securities, the adverse economic consequences of the bubble's popping may be muted. Further, when the object of speculation is a transformational technology, a new economy can emerge from the wreckage. That is why, for example, the consequences of the technology bubble in 2001 were radically different from those of the housing bubble in 2008. So what can we learn from the



history of productive speculation that would help us anticipate where and how (if not when) the next bubble may emerge?

William Janeway, Senior Advisor, Warburg Pincus Ventures Steve Fazzari, Professor, Department of Economics, Washington University in St. Louis Ramana Nanda, Associate Professor, Harvard Business School Peter Jungen, Chairman, Peter Jungen Holding GmbH, Director, Institute of New Economic Thinking

Moderator: John Cassidy, Journalist, The New Yorker Magazine

10:30 - 11:00 Coffee Break

CANADIAN ROOM

11:00 - 12:30 BREAKOUT SESSION A

ONTARIO ROOM

Beyond Austerity: Default, Debt Restructuring or Recovery?

The global economy faces multiple simultaneous pressures on GDP growth at a time when the scope to stimulate growth from public funds is said by most policy makers to be limited by high debt and deficit levels. Is this true? Can the threat to growth in the short- or even medium-term be reduced in the absence of some kind of managed default and debt restructuring, or can society achieve recovery in the absence of such measures?

Karl Aiginger, Director, Austrian Institute of Economic Research, Vienna University Nelson Barbosa, Professor, Federal University of Rio de Janiero Arturo O'Connell, Senior Advisor, Central Bank of Argentine Republic Ulrich Hege, Professor of Finance & Director of PhD Program, HEC School of Management Brett House, Senior Fellow, Centre for International Governance Innovation

Moderator: Domenico Lombardi, Director of the Global Economy Program, Centre for

International Governance Innovation

11:00 - 12:30 BREAKOUT SESSION B

TORONTO ROOM

Internet Governance, Internet Freedom and Economic Freedom

Is Internet governance best left to multi-stakeholder private sector organizations with a formal and regular process for input from governments? Or is this best given over to some international body of nation states?

The argument against increasing public oversight is that governments will tend toward conflicting regulation, filtering, and censorship, whereas private sector technical innovation and business development is what has led to the Internet freedom of expression and online commerce that most of the developed world enjoys today. At the same time, the people whose job it is to report the news responsibly are getting kicked out of work, downsized, reduced to half time, having their pay slashed, by this bloodsucking monster squid of the Internet. All blogs need information. But where is the information coming from? Who is paying for the information? How is one able to distinguish meaningful information from sheer falsehood? How absolute is the freedom offered by the Internet?

Nii Quaynor, Founding Chairman, AfriNIC Laura DeNardis, Professor of Economics, American University John Knubley, Deputy Minister, Industry Canada



Moderator: John Ibbitson, Senior Fellow, Centre for International Governance Innovation

11:00 - 12:30 BREAKOUT SESSION C

TERRITORIES

Pressures on Pensions

The budget crisis of state and local governments has been the subject of much discussion and debate. Are greedy government workers bankrupting states? Are pension-slashing politicians backed by big moneysaving the day? Or do the budget problems of state and local governments have more to do with wasteful corporate subsidies than pensions? What are the real policy solutions to the pressures placed on pensions?

Teresa Ghilarducci, Director, Schwartz Center for Economic Policy Analysis, The New School Tim Jenkinson, Professor of Finance, University of Oxford, Said School of Business Richard Ravitch, Co-Chair, State Budget Crisis Task Force Bill Robson, President and Chief Executive Officer, C.D. Howe Institute

David Sirota, Television Commentator & Nationally Syndicated Columnist Moderator:

Lunch 1:00 - 2:45

CANADIAN ROOM

KEYNOTE 1:00 - 2:45

CANADIAN ROOM

Integration, Currency Unions, and Balance of Payments

Asymmetrical monetary unions, wherever and whenever attempted in the absence of corresponding political institution building, have ended up in tears and retribution. The Gold Standard, the various pegs between domestic currencies and the U.S. dollar (S.E. Asia, Argentina, Mexico etc.), the European Exchange Rate Mechanism, the Eurozone that followed the latter's collapse, all resembled historical invasions of Russia – that is, a brisk beginning full of enthusiasm and hope, rapid progress that seemed unstoppable, followed by a heart wrenching slowdown as cruel winter took its toll, and ending up with blood on the snow and infinite retributions thereafter. Is the European Monetary Union invariably going to head down this course?

William Mitchell, Professor of Economics, Charles Darwin University Martin Wolf, Chief Economics Commentator, Financial Times Peter Temin, Professor, Department of Economics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology Andrew Sheng, President, Fung Global Institute

Moderator: Amanda Lang, Journalist, Canadian Broadcasting Corporation

EXPLORATIONS IN NEW ECONOMIC THINKING SESSION A 3:00 - 4:30

ONTARIO ROOM

Innovation and Globalization: Playing Catch-up v. Pushing the Frontier

Technology is an increasingly important element of globalization and of competitiveness and that the acceleration in the rate of technological change. Is it the case that the pre-requisites necessary to participate effectively in globalization are making it more difficult for many developing countries to compete and catch up?

Diego Comin, Associate Professor, Harvard Business School Lise de Propris, Reader in Regional Economic Department, University of Birmingham William Lazonick, Professor, University of Massachusetts, Lowell

Michael Shellenberger, President, The Breakthrough Institute <u>Moderator</u>:



EXPLORATIONS IN NEW ECONOMIC THINKING SESSION B 3:00 - 4:30

TERRITORIES

The Economics of Radical Uncertainty

How do human beings truly react when confronted with conditions of genuine "unknown unknowns"? According to Frank Knight, "Uncertainty must be taken in a sense radically distinct from the familiar notion of risk, from which it has never been properly separated...The essential fact is that 'risk' means in some cases a quantity susceptible of measurement, while at other times it is something distinctly not of this character; and there are far-reaching and crucial differences in the bearings of the phenomena depending on which of the two is really present and operating...It will appear that a measurable uncertainty, or 'risk' proper, as we shall use the term, is so far different from an unmeasurable one that it is not in effect an uncertainty at all."

The economics literature from Knight onward is very good at laying out the propensity of markets to greatly overshoot and undershoot the fundamentals. However, economics does not adequately address the implications of "Knightean" uncertainty, because the discipline finds it hard to model this phenomenon. To get a full measure of this, one has to enter into the realm of psychology and neuroscience. That's where the definition lies. Radical uncertainty, like so much else, is too important to be left to the realm of economics alone.

Angus Burgin, Assistant Professor, Department of History, The Johns Hopkins University Jonathan Levy, Professor, Department of History, Princeton University Eric Weinstein, Managing Director, Thiel Capital / Visiting Research Fellow, Oxford University Paul Ormerod, Centre for Decision Making Uncertainty, University College London, Institute for New Economic Thinking Grantee

Emma Rothschild, Professor and Director, Center of History and Economics, Moderator:

Harvard University

CANADIAN ROOM PLENARY 4:45 - 6:15

Cyber War, Cyber Space: National Security and Privacy in the Global Economy

Information technologies and infrastructure—from satellites orbiting the earth to the smart phones in our hands, from undersea cables to wireless networks all around us, and from the global banking system to household appliances – play an increasingly indispensable role in daily life. At the same time, threats to cyber security are becoming more numerous and more serious. How does society deal with these seemingly conflicting challenges? As President Obama, senior U.S. government officials, and heads of private companies plead their ignorance, the NSA surveillance scandal, which now involves the monitoring of telephone calls of world leaders including German Chancellor Angela Merkel, sows doubt between allies. According to the secret NSA documents unveiled by Edward Snowden, the U.S. SIGINT system has targeted both enemies and allies. The documents show that the NSA has been snooping not only around European countries, but also around U.S. Pacific allies such as South Korea and Japan, aiming to gather information on strategic technologies, economic influence, and foreign policy, for the purpose of ensuring economic advantage and national security interests. How do we strike the correct balance between security and privacy?

Yvo Desmedt, Professor of Computer Science, University of Texas Amir Herzberg, Professor of Computer Science, Bar Ilan University Steven Bellovin, Professor of Computer Science, Columbia University Bart Preneel, Professor, Katholieke Universiteit Leuven

Moderator: Tim Shorrock, Writer and Commentator



Cocktail Reception 6:15 - 7:15

PRE-FUNCTION AREA

KEYNOTE 7:15 - 9:00

CANADIAN ROOM

What Money Can't Buy

In recent decades, market values have crowded out nonmarket norms in almost every aspect of life—medicine, education, government, law, art, sports, even family life and personal relations. Without quite realizing it, we have drifted from having a market economy to being a market society. Is this where we want to be?

Should we pay children to read books or to get good grades? Should we allow corporations to pay for the right to pollute the atmosphere? Is it ethical to pay people to test risky new drugs or to donate their organs? What about hiring mercenaries to fight our wars? Auctioning admission to elite universities? Selling citizenship to immigrants willing to pay? This discussion takes on one of the biggest ethical questions of our time: Is there something wrong with a world in which everything is for sale? And if so, how can we prevent market values from reaching into spheres of life where they don't belong? What are the moral limits of markets?

Introduction: Robert Johnson, President, Institute of New Economic Thinking

Michael Sandel, Professor of Government, Harvard University

Discussant:

Day 2 End 9:00

Conference Program | Saturday, April 12 ALL TIMES EST | SESSION TITLES NOT FINAL

SUNRISE BREAKFAST PLENARY 7:45 - 9:00

CANADIAN ROOM

New Economic Thinking

The Institute of New Economic Thinking seeks to encourage young economists to measure what hasn't been measured before, to say what they've been afraid to say for fear of not being published and promoted. We want to bring the efforts of the next generation into better alignment with the needs of mankind at this critical juncture. We need to open the dialog, enliven the debate. We need to have purposeful minds working together, starting from the understanding that we have failed as experts in the most recent episode. One of the ways of achieving reforms in the economics profession deals with the way it is being taught in the universities. The panel seeks to address this issue and the broader subject of curriculum reform.

David Colander, Professor Economics, Middlebury College John Smithin, Professor of Economics, York University

Wendy Carlin Professor of Economics, University College London Perry Mehrling, Professor of Economics, Barnard College, Columbia University

Moderator:



8:00 – 12:00 *Registration and Exhibits*

9:15 - 10:30 PLENARY

CANADIAN ROOM

Finance and the Real Economy

Not all innovation in finance is valuable, not all trading plays a useful role, and a bigger financial system is not necessarily a better one. Are there, in fact, good reasons for believing that the financial industry, more than any other sector of the economy, has an ability to generate unnecessary demand for its own services—that more trading and more financial innovation can under some circumstances create harmful volatility that customers have to hedge against, creating more demand for trading liquidity and innovative products; that parts of the financial services industry have a unique ability to attract to themselves unnecessarily high returns and create instability that harms the rest of society? Or can financial innovation be harnessed so that it yet again serves as a handmaiden for the economy, rather than its destructive master?

Ed Clark, President and Chief Executive Officer, TD Bank Joseph Stiglitz, Professor, Columbia University's Graduate School of Business Adair Turner, Senior Fellow, Institute of New Economic Thinking

Moderator: The Right Honourable Paul Martin, Former Prime Minister of Canada

10:30 - 11:00 Coffee Break

CANADIAN ROOM

11:00 - 12:30 BREAKOUT SESSION A

Economic Progress and Financial Reform in China

It is now widely realized that China's sustainable economic growth rate is slowing and its unprecedentedly high investment ratio is unsustainable. It is less well recognized that its investment ratio implies a growth rate in the capital stock (perhaps 12% or more) that is hugely higher than the current speed limit on Chinese economic growth (perhaps 7% or less). To adjust the former down to the latter obviously risks a powerful accelerator multiplier contraction. So the issue at hand is whether China will bite the bullet now and take the pain or defer doing so to a later date. As it is a command economy and its overall debt to GDP ratio is still well below levels that have proved to be ceilings in other economies, it could well defer. But if the country moves too quickly toward Western style financial liberalization, this might well complicate the rebalancing process.

It has becoming increasingly clear that debt growth has been so great in recent years the Chinese authorities will now try restraint/reform/rebalancing. Because the Chinese growth disequilibrium is now so wide, and the debt dependence of its fixed investment so great, these policies may well inflict too much pain on too many vested interests. In time a "stop" phase of a stop go" policy will once again give way to a go phase led by debt dependent state-sponsored investment. But we will first get that "stop" phase involving restraint/reform/rebalancing. This panel examines a range of views on what everyone regards as a critical issue for the global economy.

Andrew Sheng, President, Fung Global Institute

He Fan, Deputy Director, Institute of World Economics & Politics, Chinese Academy of Social **Sciences**

Jehoon Park, Professor, School of Northeast Asian Studies, University of Incheon David Wu, Beijing Senior Partner, PricewaterhouseCoopers



Hu Shuli, Editor-in-Chief, Caixin Media / Orville Schell, Director, Center on Moderator:

U.S. - China Relations

11:00 - 12:30 BREAKOUT SESSION B

TORONTO ROOM

The Problem of the Predator State: Fostering Innovation While Facilitating **Corporate Predation**

Simply put, to many figures on the political Right the state is the source of all corruption and efficiency, and it does its job best when it leaves the market to its own devices. The political Left, on the other hand, sometimes retorts that the state is the only entity positioned to mobilize national resources for broader public purposes. During the 20th century, the drive toward national development was followed by the imperative of national security. During World War II, science went to war on an unprecedented scale, yielding innovations from radar to the atomic bomb. And the commitment continued through the decades of the Cold War. From 1950 through 1978, federal government agencies accounted for more than half of all research and development spending. From silicon to software to the Internet, the entire array of information and communication technologies that we use today originated in government programs aimed at promoting national security. State agencies not only funded scientific research, they also served as creative and collaborative customers for the products that followed. They pulled the suppliers down the learning curve to low cost, reliable production. In other words, they rendered new technologies ripe for commercial exploitation.

But today's governments around the globe are rife with crony capitalism. How does the state manage its central role in the innovation economy if the state itself becomes an instrument for facilitating corporate predation?

William Black, Associate Professor of Economics and Law, University of Missouri, Kansas City Jamie Galbraith, Professor of Government, Lyndon B. Johnson School of Public Affairs Michael Greenberger, Professor, University of Maryland, School of Law Solomon Wisenberg, Partner in White Collar Defense, Nelson Mullins Riley & Scarborough LLP

Mario Seccareccia, Professor of Economics, University of Ottawa Moderator:

11:00 - 12:30 BREAKOUT SESSION C

Law and Innovation: Is Intellectual Property a Path to Progress

Intellectual property patents assume a "one-to-one mapping" between property rights and markets. But this understanding is too simplistic. In fact, multiple overlapping property rights often support economic markets. Typically, a mix of public (free access) and private (covered by property rights) inputs are behind the creation of most products. When rights are held by different firms some coordination among rights holders is necessary before a product can be sold on a market. There also is a need for coordination between public technology sources and the private firms that will draw on them. The conventional wisdom is that stronger intellectual property rights inevitably will increase the pace of inventing. In the standard model, the stronger a patent, the more inventive effort and outcome are induced because the benefits of the research are reserved for those responsible for the development. The drawback of a stronger patent system is that although there are more inventions, the use of each discrete technical advance is restricted and monopolies persist for long periods. To what degree, then, should the law safeguard patents and to what extent do such laws inhibit economic progress?

Barry Sookman, Senior Partner, McCarthy Tétrault Giovanni Dosi, Director, Institute of Economics, Scuola Superiore Sant'Anna in Pisa





Mariana Mazzucato, Professor, University of Sussex

Moderator: Lynn Parramore, Senior Editor, Alternet.org

Lunch 1:00 - 2:45

KEYNOTE CANADIAN ROOM 1:00 - 2:45

Education and Human Development: What are the Questions?

Is progress in education synonymous with growth and human development? Do lags in educational progress lead to declines in economic attainment and prosperity? Does poor education widen the gap between rich and poor?

Even as the pace of technological change has been surprisingly steady, in periods when educational progress outpaces this change, inequality narrows. The market is flooded with skilled workers, so their wages rise modestly. In periods like the current one, when educational progress lags behind technological change, inequality widens. The relatively few skilled workers command higher prices, while the many unskilled ones have little bargaining power.

Is the source of educational decline falling school quality? Is it primarily about a shortage of funding or rising college tuition costs? Or does it begin more fundamentally at the level of family environments, which have deteriorated over the past 40 years? Some children are bathed in an atmosphere that promotes human capital development, but, increasingly, more are not.

Roger Benjamin, President and Chief Executive Officer, Council for Aid to Education James Heckman, Professor of Economics, University of Chicago Anurag Behar, Chief Executive Officer, Azim Premji Foundation, Vice Chancellor, Premji University

Jonathan Kozol, writer and education activist Diane Ravitch, Professor, Steinhart School of Culture, New York University

Moderator: Bill Movers, Founder and Journalist, Movers & Company / David Sirota,

Television Commentator & Nationally Syndicated Columnist

EXPLORATIONS IN NEW ECONOMIC THINKING SESSION A ONTARIO ROOM 3:00 - 4:30

Worldwide Revolutions: Is History Repeating Itself?

Egypt is in the throes of its worst political crisis since 2011, when massive street protests resulted in the ouster of President Hosni Mubarak. Meanwhile, in Syria, the civil war worsens daily. Those realities, plus the ongoing problems in many other Arab states, contrast dramatically with the optimism that surrounded the Arab Spring in 2011.

Three years ago, the optimism came with the ousting of four dictators in the greatest challenge to authoritarian rule since the collapse of the Soviet bloc. But if the 2011 revolts in Tunisia, Egypt, and Yemen were largely bloodless victories, they were clouded by the civil war in Libya and fears that building new democratic states could prove more difficult than simply toppling dictators. Are today's revolutions a harbinger of real change, like the one the United States experienced in 1776? Or are they sewing the seeds of a counter-revolution, like the Revolutions of 1848?

Wang Hui, Tsinghua University

Sandra Halperin, Director of Graduate Studies, University of London

Mustapha Nabli, former Governor, Central Bank of Tunisia

ineteconomics.org | facebook.com/ineteconomics facebook.com/cigionline

300 Park Avenue South, 5th Floor, New York, NY 10010 USA 57 Erb Street West, Waterloo, ON N2L 6C2 Canada





Bessma Momani, Senior Fellow, Centre for International Governance Innovation

Moderator: John Cassidy, Journalist, The New Yorker

EXPLORATIONS IN NEW ECONOMIC THINKING SESSION B TERRITORIES 3:00 - 4:30

Innovation and Inequality: Cause or Cure

The discussion of "innovation inequality" has taken on greater urgency as it has melded with the growing inequality debate that is increasingly becoming a feature of global political conversations. Many analysts have argued in recent years that technological advances tend to intensify economic differences, because technology disproportionately increases the incomes of those most able to take advantage of it. Thus, they say, technological innovation is a driver of inequality. To what extent is this true and to what degree does technology and innovation create a continual stream of new ideas and products that are quickly enjoyed by everyone and therefore reduce inequality?

Steve Fazzari, Professor, Department of Economics, Washington University in St. Louis Marlene Kim, Professor of Economics, University of Massachusetts, Boston Martin Schürz, Head of Monetary Unit, Austrian National Bank Lance Taylor, Director of the Center for Economic Policy Analysis, The New School

Wilfried Altzinger, Professor of Economics, Vienna University of Economics Moderator:

and Business

PLENARY CANADIAN ROOM 4:45 - 6:15

The Environment and Innovation: What Are The Real Costs?

For the most part, economic policy makers continue to make the case that growth should be the over-arching policy objective. In this context, environmentalism often is seen as a cost, a tradeoff that inhibits the primary growth objective. Such assumptions are increasingly belied by the literature on environmental and resource limits to growth, on the disconnect between higher incomes and happiness, and on the failure of economic growth to meet other key economic, social, and environmental policy objectives. Many now also argue that innovating to solve climate problems would be hugely profitable for companies and nations that do it.

Thomas Heller, Executive Director, Climate Policy Initiative Peter Victor, Professor of Environmental Studies, York University Amartya Sen, Professor of Economics and Philosophy, Harvard University Thomas Homer Dixon, Chair, Global Systems, Centre for International Governance Innovation

Moderator: Marc Lavoie, Professor of Economics, University of Ottawa

PRE-FUNCTION AREA Cocktail Reception 6:15 - 7:15

KEYNOTE CANADIAN ROOM 7:15 - 9:00

Secular Stagnation? The Future Challenge for Economic Policy

Five years after the financial collapse, unemployment remains very high in much of the western world. Essentially every major country, with the partial exception of Japan, has tended to leave recovery to private markets and eschewed major fiscal policy initiatives. Increasingly, analysts are asking whether this faith in private markets is justified. Larry Summers has argued that we are, experiencing "secular stagnation." On the other hand, Japan has made some striking



strides toward recovery recently. Is Japan exceptional or does the advent of "Abenomics" suggest that there are still policy tools that can create "escape velocity" for the economy and restore higher levels of growth and prosperity?

Introduction: Robert Johnson, President, Institute of New Economic Thinking

Koichi Hamada, Tungtex Professor of Economics, Yale University Larry Summers, Professor, Harvard Kennedy School of Government

Chrystia Freeland, Member of the Parliament of Canada, Toronto Centre Moderator:

Conference End 9:00