Supporting a Safer Internet

Jordan Findings

Centre for International Governance Innovation







About This Study

Online gender-based violence (OGBV) is recognized as one of the most widespread forms of interpersonal violence and, with rapid digitization, has become all too prevalent in today's online interactions.

OGBV takes many forms, including hacking, impersonation, surveillance/tracking, harassment/spamming, the non-consensual distribution of intimate photos and messages, and through recruiting victims into violent/harmful situations. The prevalence of OGBV is an **exacerbating factor in digital exclusion.** Yet currently there is **limited data** available on how various groups of people (women, men, LGBTQ+) experience the online world, the levels of harassment or violence they face and how the impacts of OGBV unfold on individuals as well as on the overall composition and freedom of the online world.

The Centre for International Governance Innovation (CIGI) and the International Development Research Centre (IDRC) have embarked on the project **Supporting a Safer Internet**. This project engaged an array of leading experts in the field to conduct research on this growing phenomenon with the aim to present a final report with proposed programmatic, policy and legal options for **mitigating OGBV for a safer online world**.

A key part of the overall project is a research survey (led by Ipsos) that seeks to understand people's experiences online and the incidence of OGBV, with a specific focus on countries in the Global South.

Methodology



TARGET AUDIENCE

General population representative survey covering respondents aged 18–74 in Canada and the United States and 16–74 in all other countries. Quotas and weighting by age, gender and region to ensure a representative sample.



SURVEY INSTRUMENT

The survey instrument was designed in consultation with the steering committee (subject matter experts from and/or partnering with CIGI/IDRC). Ipsos global and regional experts also contributed from the point of view of both regional nuances and operational feasibility.

Pilot interviews were conducted in each country and based on the feedback, further adjustments were made to the content and flow, as well as administration (instructions/descriptions, etc.).



SURVEY METHODOLOGY

An online survey was supplemented by offline interviews in selected countries.

(In countries where internet penetration is limited or based on limitations of online panel coverage, offline interviews [face-to-face or telephone] were also conducted to ensure inclusion of respondents that may have been under-represented or excluded online.)

The survey was developed in English and conducted in-language in each country.



Fieldwork dates: June 25 to September 2, 2021 **Length of interview:** Online survey: ~15 minutes and offline survey: ~30–35 minutes

Reporting Conventions and Considerations

The term "LGBTQ+" has been used throughout the report where "+" stands for each country's unique traditions, norms and definitions.

The survey asked three separate questions on gender identity and sexual orientation. For simplification and in order to study the data on a quantifiable sample, "LGBTQ+" is defined as those who selected "A gender other than the one I was assigned at birth ('transgender')" or "Another gender identity" for questions on gender identity or "Gay/Lesbian/Another sexual orientation" for question on sexual orientation.

- Due to lower base size for the LGBTQ+ group, differences may not test as statistically significant.

 Based on the objectives of the research and, therefore, the importance of analyzing the results separately for LGBTQ+ respondents, data is presented for directional purposes only and should be interpreted with caution.
- Some questions were not asked in certain countries.

 A full list of country-specific adaptations can be made available upon request.
- Where **results do not add to 100**%, it is due to rounding or because the question allowed the selection of multiple responses.

Ipsos follows a "no-harm" approach in surveying. Given the sensitive nature of the topic and in recognition of the fact that some of the questions, especially on personal experiences, could be triggering, all respondents were:

- informed about the topic and the objectives of the survey and asked for their explicit consent to participate before the start of the survey;
- informed up front that all questions are voluntary and an option of "Prefer not to answer" was available in each question, and could be selected if the topic was uncomfortable or if the respondent did not want to answer for any other reasons; and
- provided a list of local resources and helplines if they wished to seek support.

As mentioned above, the survey questions included options of "Don't know" or "Prefer not to answer" as valid response options that respondents could select if they did not wish to answer a particular question or did not feel they had enough information to share an opinion on the topic.

- The data and analysis presented in the report <u>do not exclude</u> these cases and include a proportion of respondents who selected these options of "Don't know" or "Prefer not to answer." This proportion varies for each question and for each country and may be representative of a "response style" unique to each country and culture.
- Please note that if the proportion of those who selected "Don't know" or "Prefer not to answer" is excluded from the data, the analysis and interpretation may change and will differ from what is presented in this report.

Jordan Findings


"Online gender-based violence has been minimized and ignored because of the mistaken belief that online abuse is not as harmful as abuse that happens in the physical world. To combat this misconception, it is vital to understand the many forms that online gender-based violence takes, who the victims are and what harms arise as a result of it."

Suzie Dunn, CIGI Senior Fellow

Total surveyed: 1,000

Total LGBTQ+ respondents: N/A


Note: Respondents were not asked about their sexual orientation or non-binary gender identity in this country.



- Nearly 3 out of 4 Jordanian men and women respondents (74%) have experienced some form of online harm.
- Of the women impacted, 41% believe they were targeted because of their gender identity.




• 53% of women respondents feel that their mental health was negatively or very negatively impacted by the incident(s) of online harm.



- 47% of respondents who experienced some form of online harm did not reach out to anyone following the incident.
- 30% of women respondents took a break from social media following the incident of online harm.
- 23% of respondents believe that the police have the most responsibility to help end OGBV.


Internet Access



Q1. How do you access the internet?

Note: "Personal access" refers to access through a personal smartphone, tablet and/or a personal computer.

Frequency of Daily Use



Q2. Please indicate how often you do the following.

Type of Internet User





describe themselves as an "average/typical" internet user.

Social Media Following

say they have a significant social media following.


Most Effective Resources Available to Help Respond to OGBV



Q5. Please rate the effectiveness of resources available in your community to help respond to online gender-based violence on a scale of 1–5 with 1 being very ineffective resources and 5 being very effective resources.

Note: The percentages reported are for T2B scores, i.e., those who rated 4 or 5 on the scale of 1-5 (T2B = top two box).


The Most Important Resources for Addressing OGBV



Q6. On a scale of 1–5 with 1 being not important at all and 5 being very important, how important do you think the following mediums/resources are in addressing online gender-based violence?

Note: The percentages reported are for T2B scores, i.e., those who rated 4 or 5 on the scale of 1-5.

Organizations That Have the Most Responsibility to Help End OGBV




Q7. Please rank this list from 1 to 7, placing the organization you think has the most responsibility to help end online gender-based violence at the top (rank 1) and the organization that you think has the least responsibility at the bottom (rank 7).

Note: The percentages reported are for rank 1 scores, i.e., organizations with the most responsibility.

strongly or somewhat agree that they have the knowledge or skills needed to help someone who has experienced an incident of OGBV.

Q8. If someone you know were to experience an incident of online gender-based violence, to what extent do you agree that you have the skills or knowledge needed to help the person with their problem?

How Big a Problem Is OGBV in Your Country?



Q9. How big an issue do you think online gender-based violence is for yourself, men and women in your country? (Based on a 5-point scale where 1 means "not a problem at all" and 5 means "very big problem.")

Note: The percentages reported are for T2B scores, i.e., those who rated 4 or 5 on the scale of 1-5.

JORDAN


Behaviour Harmfulness Level

	Men	Women	Overall
Identity and reputation-based harms	68%	73%	70%
Privacy and security-based harms	67%	71%	69%
Coercion and harassment	63%	69%	66%
Sexual harms	66%	75%	70%

Q10. If the following were to happen to you or someone you know, how harmful would you consider these online behaviours? Please rate on a scale of 1–5 where 1 is not very harmful and 5 is extremely harmful.

Note: The percentages reported are for "extremely harmful (rated 5/TB)" (TB = top box).

Identity and Reputation-Based Harms




Q10. If the following were to happen to you or someone you know, how harmful would you consider these online behaviours? Please rate on a scale of 1-5 where 1 is not very harmful and 5 is extremely harmful.

Privacy and Security-Based Harms




Q10. If the following were to happen to you or someone you know, how harmful would you consider these online behaviours? Please rate on a scale of 1-5 where 1 is not very harmful and 5 is extremely harmful.

Coercion and Harassment




Q10. If the following were to happen to you or someone you know, how harmful would you consider these online behaviours? Please rate on a scale of 1-5 where 1 is not very harmful and 5 is extremely harmful.

Sexual Harms




Q10. If the following were to happen to you or someone you know, how harmful would you consider these online behaviours? Please rate on a scale of 1–5 where 1 is not very harmful and 5 is extremely harmful.

Personal Experience of Harm




Identity and Reputation-Based Harms



Privacy and Security-Based Harms



Coercion and Harassment



Sexual Harms




Platforms Used in the Incidents

ONLINE/SOCIAL MEDIA FORUMS (NET)	82%	DIRECT (Email, text, etc.) (NET)	71%
Communication-based social media	72%	Messaging apps	52%
Image-sharing social media	38%	Email	41%
Video-sharing social media	31%	Text message	30%
Professional websites	14%	Cloud storage	17%
Message boards	6%	Video-conferencing apps	16%
OTHERS (NET)	28%	PREFER NOT TO ANSWER	7%
Pornography websites	15%		
Tracking program	14%		
Smart home devices	7%		

Other 4%

Q12. What platforms or messaging apps were involved in these incidents? Select all that apply.

Impact of Harm on Personal Life



Q13. On a scale of 1-5 where 1 is not impacted at all and 5 is very negatively impacted, how much do you think each of these following areas of your life is impacted by those incidents?

Note: The percentages reported are for T2B scores, i.e., those who rated 4 or 5 on the scale of 1-5.

Actions in Response to Incidents

blocked or muted someone following an incident of online harm.

Changed the privacy settings on your accounts or devices Changed your contact information 30% Stopped/reduced posting on a certain platform 28% Took a break from social media 26% Deleted or deactivated a social media account 25% Stopped posting about a certain issue 23% Changed your profile information 22% Acted differently in the real world to protect your safety 19% Changed your behaviour in a relationship 18% Searched for content about yourself online Replaced your device with a new one Avoided social occasions or events 12% Stopped participating online altogether Changed part of your identity 9% Took time off work or school 6% Bought something to add to your security 3% Moved to a new address 3% None of the above 11%

Q14. Did you take any of the following actions in response to any of these online incidents that you have experienced? Select all that apply.

Women

Men


11%

11%

Actions in Response to Incidents

Changed the privacy settings on your accounts or devices 42% 50% Took a break from social media 23% 30% Stopped/reduced posting on a platform 26% 30% Deleted or deactivated a social media account 21% 28% Searched for content about yourself online 18% 16% Blocked or muted someone 53% 65% Changed your profile information 23% 22% Changed your contact information 29% 32% Avoided social occasions or events 12% 12% Changed your behaviour in a relationship 16% 20% Stopped posting about a certain issue 24% 21% Acted differently in the real world to protect safety 18% 19% Stopped participating online altogether 9% 13% Replaced your device with a new one 15% 12% Changed part of your identity 9% 8% Bought something to add to your security 3% Took time off work or school 5% 7% Moved to a new address 3% 3%


None of the above




Q14. Did you take any of the following actions in response to any of these online incidents that you have experienced? Select all that apply.

Frequency of Harm

Once/a few times:



Reason for Being Targeted




Q16. Thinking of the online incident that had the most impact on your life, do you think you were targeted because of any of the following aspects about yourself? Select all that apply.


Types of People Conducting Harm

CLOSE (Partner/friends/family) (NET)	23%	OTHERS (Not known) (NET)	70%
Friend	12%	Someone I've never met/anonymous	51%
Ex-intimate partner	7%	A random group of people	13%
Family member	5%	Could not be determined	11%
Current intimate partner	3%	Member of an identifiable online group	7%
		Politicians or public authorities	4%
KNOWN (Co-worker/teacher, etc.) (NET)	14%	Other	6%
Co-worker	7%		
Another student	4%		00/
Client/customer	4%	PREFER NOT TO ANSWER	9%
Teacher/coach	1%		

Q17. Thinking of the online incident that had the most impact on your life, who was the person who targeted you? Select all that apply.

Gender of the Party Inflicting Harm






of those who experienced some form of online harm did not reach out to anyone after the incident.

Effective Resources


of those who contacted someone following an incident identified a spouse/partner as the most effective resource.



Q20. How effective were the people or organizations you contacted in helping you with the incident?

Note: The percentages reported are for "very effective (rated TB)."


Witnessing Online Harms



Witnessing Online Harms

	Men	Women	
Called discriminatory names or derogatory cultural terms	24%	26%	
Lies posted online about them	23%	25%	Identity and
Online impersonation	24%	29%	reputation- based harms
Harassed because of gender, race, disability, etc.	22%	31%	
Someone accessing device or social media account without permission	26%	32%	Privacy and
Monitored, tracked or spied on online	20%	18%	security-based
Having personal contact information posted online without permission	21%	19%	harms
Physically threatened online	15%	11%	
Blackmailed online	22%	23%	Coercion and
Networked harassment	19%	18%	harassment
Repeatedly contacted by someone they don't want to be contacted by	37%	44%	
Personal nude or sexual images of them shared with someone or posted online	Questio	n was not asked.	Sexual harms
Unwanted sexual images sent to them	31%	35%	

Victim's Gender




Platforms Used in Incidents

of incidents occurred on communication-based social media platforms.

31%	Messaging apps
20%	Image-sharing social media
15%	Video-sharing social media
14%	Text message
13%	Email
6%	Video-conferencing apps
6%	Tracking programs
5%	Pornography websites
4%	Professional websites
4%	Cloud storage
4%	Smart home devices
3%	Message boards
12%	Prefer not to answer

Reason for Being Targeted

believe that gender identity was the reason a person close to them experienced a form of online harm.



Q24. Do you think the person was targeted because of any of the following aspects about them? Select all that apply.


JORDAN

Party Inflicting Harm

CLOSE (Partner/friends/family) (NET)	31%	OTHERS (NET)	56%
Friend	17%	Anonymous person	34%
Ex-intimate partner	8%	Could not be determined	13%
Family member	8%	A random group of people (e.g., online mob)	7%
Current intimate partner	2%	Stranger	6%
		Member of an identifiable online group	3%
KNOWN (Co-worker/teacher, etc.) (NET)	14%	Politicians or public authorities	3%
Co-worker	7%	Other	3%
Another student	5%		00/
Client/customer	3%	PREFER NOT TO ANSWER	9%
Teacher/coach	2%		

Q25. Who was the other party involved (the person who targeted someone close to you)? Select all that apply.

Gender of the Party Inflicting Harm



JORDAN

Action Taken

	Men	Women
Listened to the person who was being harassed	24%	31%
Offered to help the person being harassed	22%	30%
Stood up for the person being harassed	27%	26%
Told the person getting harassed what happened to them was wrong	14%	20%
Reported the incident to the social media platform	16%	15%
Reported the incident to the police	16%	16%
Talked to the victim's family or friends about the harassment	8%	8%
Talked to the perpetrator's family or friends about the harassment	7%	9%
Reported the incident to the perpetrator's workplace or school authorities	4%	4%
Reported the incident to the victim's workplace or school authorities	4%	3%
I didn't take any of these listed actions	3%	7%
I didn't take any action	20%	20%

Q27. Did you take any of the following actions? Select all that apply.